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Introduction

In March 2014 a working party of committed clinical pancreatologists from The Australasian Pancreatic Club came together to review the literature regarding pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) in an impartial way. We believed there were very good reasons why we should devote our time to this project.

Firstly we were aware of differing views in our community, some of which encouraged the use of this treatment when the evidence for benefit was not strong. Secondly, we were aware that some patients were not being prescribed enzymes when their use had clear clinical benefit. It was generally considered that further evidence had been published since the previous Guidelines from our group and we wished to evaluate this literature. Further, it is important to utilise an evidence based approach for prescribing medication, particularly when patients have a lifetime requirement such as for the use of PERT.

A strong view was expressed that there was a need for a complete rewriting of the guidelines rather than a simple update. I was very glad that a group of expert physicians, surgeons, dietitians and paediatricians became involved in this project, all of whom were highly regarded in our community with most having an international reputation of excellence in the field. They all gave their time for no monetary reward and were allocated chapters to appraise the literature and formulate guidelines.

Members recognised that there was likely to be a range of diagnostic difficulties but that it was important to review this now and determine the strength of indication for treatment. A new classification was needed for patients who might need PERT.

I believe this is a worthwhile document presenting many new concepts which I trust will be useful to clinicians who are guiding treatment for patients with pancreatic exocrine failure.

Ross C. Smith
Chairman, Australasian Pancreatic Club PERT Guidelines Working Party
September 2015.
# Glossary and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Cholecystokinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA</td>
<td>Coefficient of fat absorption, the percentage of fat absorbed from the diet, normally about 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretorhoea</td>
<td>Passage of excess nitrogen in the faeces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ePFT</td>
<td>endoscopic Pancreatic function test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCP</td>
<td>Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>Endoscopic retrograde pancreatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS</td>
<td>Endoscopic ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE-1</td>
<td>Faecal elastase-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>Impaired glucose tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT</td>
<td>Medium chain triglycerides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMC</td>
<td>Migrating myoelectric complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>Mean trypsic activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>Pancreatic enzyme insufficiency, sometimes EPI (exocrine pancreatic insufficiency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERT</td>
<td>Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-MRP</td>
<td>Secretin-stimulated magnetic resonance panreatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF</td>
<td>Triceps skinfold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Methods

Intended audience

The Guidelines were intended to be read by prescribers, dietitians and GPs and published online via a link on the APC home page. Some hard copies would be made available. An abridged version of the Guidelines would be developed for submission for publication in an international journal.

Coordinator

Dr Sarah Smith, a medical scientist with previous experience in guidelines development, was engaged and paid by the APC to edit and co-ordinate the Guidelines.

Chapters

It was decided that where possible, chapters would be re-written rather than simply updated. A chapter leader and secondary reviewer was assigned to each topic in line with members’ areas of expertise. New literature searches over the last seven years were performed in Medline, PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase and the Cochrane library. Literature and draft chapters were made available on Dropbox so that authors could collaborate freely. A summary and recommendations with the highest level of evidence were added to each chapter according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (www.cebm.net), with the inclusion of a category 3c: Critical review of the literature. Because such a review is not a systematic review, it may be subject to bias, but this category was included because some references cited in the Guidelines have evaluated multiple studies including RCTs and cohort studies and it was considered that they warrant a higher level of evidence than 4 (case series) and 5 (expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, bench research or "first principles") (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1 Levels of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Systematic reviews (with homogeneity) of randomized controlled trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Individual randomized controlled trials (with narrow confidence interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>All or none randomized controlled trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Systematic reviews (with homogeneity) of cohort studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Individual cohort study or low quality randomized controlled trials (e.g. &lt;80% follow-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>&quot;Outcomes&quot; Research; ecological studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Systematic review (with homogeneity) of case-control studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Individual case-control study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>Critical review of the literature, including multiple experimental and observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Case-series (and poor quality cohort and case-control studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, or based on physiology, bench research or &quot;first principles&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Strength of agreement**

Once the new chapters were received, the editor sent an electronic questionnaire to the Expert Working Group members to gauge their strength of agreement with each recommendation. Measures of Strength of Agreement were included at the end of each chapter to help readers make decisions for their patients. This strategy was adapted from *Chronic Pancreatitis – Definition, Etiology, Investigation and Treatment*, J. Mayerle et al, Deutsches Arzteblatt International 2013; 110(22) 387-93.

**Independence**

The Expert Working Group agreed that it was critically important to maintain full independence in the development of this document, including independence from the pharmaceutical industry.

**Conflict of interest**

Expert Working Group members received no remuneration for their effort. Members made a declaration regarding any pecuniary, professional and personal benefits received from commercial interests which might influence their professional opinion. No major conflicts arose during discussions concerning treatments and final guideline recommendations.

**Endorsement (still to obtain)**

The endorsement of the Australasian Gastroenterological Society was sought and the guidelines were registered with the NH&MRC as a revised guidelines under development.

**Notes for interpretation**

Although these Guidelines are written in the light of the best available evidence, their recommendations are not mandatory. They are provided with the intent that the condition and needs and of the individual patient will always be borne in mind.

Chapters are written to be read independently. Some recommendations may be duplicated in different chapters.

Note that recommended PERT dosing follows the Australian Formulary.

It is expected that the Guidelines will be revised after 5 years or sooner if a check of the literature indicates the need.
Chapter 2

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and its diagnosis

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) is a condition resulting in maldigestion, with the potential for malnutrition.

The pancreas is a glandular organ located in the upper abdomen behind the stomach (Figure 2.1). It is the major solid digestive organ of the body, secreting digestive enzymes and bicarbonate into the duodenum via a ductal system (Figure 2.2). In addition, it is an endocrine organ producing insulin and glucagon to regulate blood sugar levels.

Figure 2.1: Location of the pancreas

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) can result from various conditions which damage the pancreas, resulting in either gross alteration of structure or more diffuse functional change. PEI causes a cluster of symptoms which vary with its severity and include abdominal pain, diarrhoea, weight loss and nutritional deficiencies.
Patients with suspected clinically significant PEI can be graded into three subgroups (Table 2.1). In those with gross changes such as total pancreatectomy or with radiologic investigations showing severe calcific pancreatitis or neoplasm in the head of the pancreas (PEI definite), the presence of severe steatorrhoea and weight loss enables the diagnosis of PEI to be made on clinical grounds alone. In the case of moderate structural alteration of the pancreas (PEI possible), the diagnosis may be hinted at when the patient presents with a degree of nutritional impairment and diarrhoea, but there may be other reasons for these conditions. In the third group of patients (PEI unlikely), a clinical diagnosis such as irritable bowel syndrome may only occasionally be caused by PEI. Here, tests of lower sensitivity and specificity may result in under- or over-diagnosis. Careful evaluation may be necessary before committing these people to long term pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT).

**Table 2.1: Proposed subgroups of Pancreatic Exocrine Insufficiency.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of PEI</th>
<th>PEI definite (d)</th>
<th>PEI possible (p)</th>
<th>PEI unlikely (u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examples of aetiology</td>
<td>Total pancreatectomy</td>
<td>Gastric surgery with postcibal asynchrony</td>
<td>Irritable Bowel Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe chronic pancreatitis with calcific changes, steatorrhoea, weight loss</td>
<td>Mild &amp; moderate chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td>Coeliac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreatic-insufficient Cystic Fibrosis</td>
<td>Pancreatic-sufficient Cystic Fibrosis</td>
<td>Inflammatory Bowel Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumour destroying head of pancreas</td>
<td>Post severe pancreatitis</td>
<td>Weight loss in the elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute pancreatitis destroying head of pancreas</td>
<td>Vitamin A, E, D, K deficiency</td>
<td>Diabetes type II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Whipple procedure (partial pancreatectomy)</td>
<td>Bowel resection?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowel resection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>&lt;10% cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of +ve objective test (diagnosis) and likelihood of response to PERT*</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>30-70%</td>
<td>&lt;10% cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*see relevant chapters

**Pancreatic exocrine secretion**

Pancreatic enzymes, especially lipase, amylase, trypsin and chymotrypsin, are vital for macronutrient digestion.

Traditionally, pancreatic secretion resulting from a meal has been viewed as taking place in a number of phases. The cephalic phase resulting from the sight and smell of food is mediated by cholinergic vagal pathways which stimulate gastric acid secretion and secretion of enzymes from pancreatic acinar cells; it accounts for 20-25% of secretion.

The gastric phase resulting from gastric distension activating vago-vagal reflexes, accounts for approximately 10% of secretion.

The intestinal phase accounts for most of the pancreatic secretory response (60-70%) and is a consequence of acidic chyme entering the duodenum. Acid provokes release of secretin from the duodenal mucosa, which in turn stimulates bicarbonate secretion from Brunner’s glands in the duodenum and from pancreatic ductal cells. This bicarbonate rapidly neutralises acid from the stomach, protecting pancreatic enzymes from destruction. Cholecystokinin (CCK) is released from the intestinal mucosa by the presence of fat and protein in the chyme and acts as the major secretagogue for enzyme secretion from pancreatic acinar cells. Other elements of the intestinal phase include enteroenteric reflexes involving a variety of neurotransmitters, predominantly acetylcholine.
It is debatable whether human pancreatic acinar cells manifest CCK receptors. There is evidence that CCK may act via intrapancreatic neurones and stellate cells, which in turn release acetylcholine as the “final common pathway”.

The pancreas also secretes between meals. Interdigestive secretion occurs in association with the migrating myoelectric complex (MMC) every 60 to 120 min. This secretion is mediated cholinergically. The MMC and interdigestive pancreatic secretion serve a “housekeeper” function, clearing the stomach and intestine of debris and bacteria between meals.

Although the schema described above seems relatively straightforward, it only outlines the known major elements involved in the regulation of pancreatic secretion. This regulation is quite complex, involving other hormones such as gastrin, insulin, pancreatic polypeptide, serotonin and other neurotransmitters such as vasoactive intestinal peptide and gastrin releasing peptide. 

**Pancreatic enzyme secretion into the duodenum**

Following a regular meal, enzyme delivery into the duodenum increases rapidly and reaches maximal values within 30–60 minutes (Figure 2.3). Following peak output, enzyme secretion decreases to almost stable secretory rates at lower levels until about 3–4 hours postprandially, depending on the size of the meal. The interdigestive range is reached again at the end of the digestive period.

*Figure 2.3: Digestive pancreatic enzyme response to a regular meal*

Interdigestive and postprandial duodenal enzyme outputs for lipase, amylase and trypsin are listed in Table 2.2 below. At the end of the digestive period, enzyme secretion returns to baseline levels, usually 3 to 4 hours postprandially. The extent and duration of pancreatic enzyme secretion depend on the caloric content, nutritional composition and physical properties of the meal.

**Table 2.2: Duodenal enzyme outputs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme (U/min)</th>
<th>Interdigestive</th>
<th>Early/maximal postprandial</th>
<th>Late/mean postprandial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3000-6000</td>
<td>2000-4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>50-250</td>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>150-500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are derived from studies using test meals with 300-600 kcal.
Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency occurs when the amounts of enzymes secreted into the duodenum in response to ingestion of a meal are not sufficient to maintain normal digestive processes. There are three chief reasons why sufficient pancreatic enzymes may not be available:

1. Insufficient capacity of the pancreas to synthesise enzymes due to loss of or injury to the pancreatic parenchyma.
2. Reduced stimulation of enzyme production due to postcibal asynchrony. This can be anatomical, e.g. following gastroenterostomy, or physiological (poor timing of pancreatic juice release after eating).
3. Impaired delivery of enzymes to the duodenum due to obstruction of the pancreatic duct.

Because of the high reserve capacity of the pancreas and compensatory mechanisms that partly replace the loss of pancreatic enzymes, clinical symptoms of PEI do not usually manifest until duodenal lipase levels fall below 5-10% of normal postprandial levels.

The most severe clinical outcome of PEI is fat maldigestion and malabsorption, causing steatorrhoea and weight loss. Steatorrhoea is characterised by stools which are frothy, foul smelling and buoyant due to their high fat content. Other symptoms may also include abdominal pain, flatulence and weight loss in adults or lack of weight gain in children. If left untreated, fat maldigestion may result in low circulating levels of micronutrients, fat-soluble vitamins and lipoproteins, which have been associated with high morbidity due to increased risk of malnutrition-related complications such as osteopenia and fracture. However, fat maldigestion may not always be obvious, so that levels of micronutrients, fat-soluble vitamins and lipoproteins can be low even though steatorrhoea is not clinically apparent. Note that the visual appearance of the stool does not necessarily indicate its fat content, and that steatorrhoea can be present without diarrhoea. Patients may consciously or unconsciously reduce their fat intake in an attempt to ease their symptoms and this can also result in malnutrition without overt steatorrhoea and/or weight loss.

Nonetheless, the presence of steatorrhoea, either proven or implied, is the foundation for initiating pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT). A decrease in pancreatic enzyme secretion detected by a sensitive direct measurement of pancreatic secretion does not by itself mandate the initiation of PERT. STEATORRHOEA MUST BE PRESENT. If the presence of steatorrhoea cannot be established, for whatever reason, by direct measurement of faecal fat, its presence may be inferred by the clinical diagnosis, imaging and patient characteristics, including suggestive changes in stool habit, weight loss, measured deficiencies in fat-soluble vitamins and osteoporosis.

Diagnosis of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency

Pancreatic exocrine function is difficult to assess because the organ and its secretions are relatively inaccessible. However, it is important to be able to differentiate malabsorption/maldigestion due to pancreatic causes from other causes and to assess the efficacy of treatment. For example, pancreatic disease may explain the symptoms of some patients with nonulcer dyspepsia.

In clinical practice, the diagnosis of PEI begins with an assessment of the patient’s clinical state, a self-report of bowel movements and weight loss in adults or failure to thrive in children, followed by morphological and functional assessments.

Assessment of pancreatic structure

Initial assessment of patients presenting with the above symptoms will include a CT scan to assess pancreatic structure.

- Strengths: CT is widely available and can identify pancreatic calcification, dilated pancreatic ducts, pancreatic masses (inflammatory and neoplastic), peri-pancreatic inflammation and fluid collections.
CT should clearly identify patients with gross changes and frequently, if a close cut study with sufficient contrast is undertaken, diagnose those with lesser degrees of structural change.

- Limitations: In many cases these changes are not specific and require further investigation with magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) or endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS).

Subsequent assessments can include –

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP):**

- Strengths: MRCP is non-invasive and does not involve radiation. It is valuable in assessing duct morphology such as dilatation, stricture and side branch ectasia.

The secretin-MRCP stimulation test (s-MRCP) involves the infusion of secretin after initial MRCP imaging. Changes in the volume of fluid in the duodenum and pancreatic duct are measured in the T2 phase. The change in duodenal volume in response to secretin has been shown to correlate with the changes in volume and bicarbonate secretion after secretin stimulation using the standard duodenal tube test (see below).

- Limitation: As yet, the s-MRCP test has not been standardised for general inter-centre applicability.

**Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS),** a high resolution but operator-dependent modality for imaging the pancreas, is now regarded as the most sensitive test for identification of early chronic pancreatitis (CP), supplanting ERCP. Diagnosis is based on the number of parenchymal and ductal criteria (determined by expert consensus) present. The greater the number of criteria present, the more likely the diagnosis of CP.

- Strengths: Useful for diagnosis of early CP; ≥ 4 EUS features are highly sensitive and specific for diagnosis of CP and correlate well with histopathologic criteria.
- Limitation: Inter-observer agreement remains a problem for the use of EUS in the diagnosis of CP.

**Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)** was once a ‘gold standard’ for the diagnosis of CP but is now rarely required, unless endotherapy is undertaken.

- Strength: higher sensitivity for ductal abnormalities over CT and MRCP.
- Limitations are the inability of ERCP to visualise the pancreatic parenchyma and its invasive nature, which carries a significant risk of pancreatitis.

Although these methods can further define structural changes in the pancreas, they may give inconclusive results in mild and moderate disease. They require more validation for reliable recommendation.

**Direct assessment of pancreatic function**

Direct tests involve collection of pancreatic secretions via duodenal intubation while the pancreas is stimulated with exogenous hormones (secretin with or without CCK) or intestinal nutrients given as a standardised test meal (the Lundh test). Although direct tests are the most sensitive and specific methods to assess pancreatic exocrine function, their cost and invasive nature has limited their routine use in clinical practice. However, the endoscopic pancreatic function test (ePFT, see below) is becoming increasingly adopted as a direct function test.

**Secretin-CCK stimulation test**

A double lumen naso-duodenal (Dreiling) tube is positioned in the second and third parts of the duodenum under radiological control and all gastric and duodenal fluid continuously aspirated for the test duration with a nonabsorbable marker to ensure all aspirations are analysed. The duodenal fluid is collected on ice. Secretin 1U/kg/h and caerulein (similar in action to CCK) 100ng/kg/h are infused continuously over 90 minutes. Duodenal fluid is sampled at 10-minute intervals for measurement of volume, bicarbonate, lipase and protease output. A peak bicarbonate secretion of 30-75% of the normal value for the testing laboratory indicates moderate dysfunction and <30% of the lower limit of normal indicates severe dysfunction. Some units also
measure lipase over 80 minutes, when values of <780,000 units/L are considered abnormal. A number of minor modifications in technique are sometimes used in different institutions.

- Strength: This is the gold standard direct test of pancreatic exocrine function. It has high sensitivity and specificity.
- Limitation: invasive, requires anaesthesia and endoscopy; expensive.

The Lundh test

This also utilises a tube placed in the second and third parts of the duodenum. All gastric and duodenal juice is aspirated. A liquid meal equivalent to 250ml of Ensure® is consumed and half-hourly aspirates from the duodenum stored on ice for the subsequent measurement of mean trypptic activity. Lipase output can be substituted for mean trypptic activity (MTA) because it can more easily be measured in the routine biochemistry laboratory. Severe pancreatic insufficiency with steatorrhoea is associated with MTA of <7U or a peak lipase excretion of <780,000 U/ml.

- Strength: this is the most physiologic test of pancreatic exocrine function and the best test to demonstrate postcibal asynchrony.
- Limitation: time-consuming, cumbersome; no longer routinely carried out in clinical practice.

Endoscopic pancreatic function test (ePFT)

Procedural details of this new test are described in Law et al: Patients are ‘placed in leftward supine and reverse Trendelenberg position to maximize pooling of fluid in the dependent portion of the duodenum. Conscious sedation using pethidine and midazolam is administered’ and monitored by an anaesthetist, and has been shown not to limit electrolyte secretion. Hormones are ‘administered intravenously starting at time 0 minutes. First, CCK (Kinevac®, Bracco Diagnostics Inc, Princeton, NJ, USA) is infused at 40 ng/kg/h. Next, a 0.2g test dose of synthetic human secretin is administered (ChiRhoStim®, ChiRhoClin Inc, Burtonsville, Md, USA). After 5 minutes, the standard dose of synthetic human secretin (0.2g/kg) is administered as an intravenous bolus. After 15 minutes, ‘a standard upper endoscope is passed into the stomach. All gastric fluid must be thoroughly aspirated and discarded. The endoscope is then passed through the pylorus and positioned in the second portion of the duodenum. Residual duodenal fluid must be aspirated and discarded. Timed duodenal fluid samples are collected’ from continuous suction through the endoscope into a fluid trap at times 25 to 29, 30 to 34, 35 to 39, 40 to 45 and 46 to 50 minutes. The times of collection are based on previous studies showing peak concentrations of bicarbonate and pancreatic enzymes at 30 to 50 minutes after hormonal stimulation. Each sample is placed on ice and analysed within 3 hours for the highest concentrations of lipase, amylase and bicarbonate, which are considered the peak concentrations. The authors found that peak bicarbonate concentration provided the best discrimination between mild and more severe CP as determined by the presence of five or more abnormalities on EUS. A similar prospective study was undertaken by Gardner et al.

- Strengths: found to correlate well with the standard Dreiling tube pancreatic function test, standardised against the Lundh test; 86% sensitivity, 67% specificity for CP against histological standard.
- Limitations: requires sedation for 1 hour, cumbersome, expensive and is difficult to be sure all secretions are collected.

Indirect tests of pancreatic exocrine function

These are cheaper and easier to administer, but are less sensitive and less specific because they are designed to detect abnormalities secondary to loss of pancreatic exocrine function. They rely on the health of other organ systems for their precision. Indirect tests can be divided into four categories: faecal tests, breath tests, urinary tests and blood tests. Some indirect tests have high sensitivity and specificity for severe CP but not for mild and moderate cases of CP.
Faecal tests

3-day faecal fat test

The 3-day faecal fat test is considered the gold standard for diagnosing and quantifying steatorrhoea. The method most commonly used is the Van de Kamer method. Adults consume a diet containing 100g of fat for 3-5 days. Children must meticulously weigh their food and maintain careful dietary records in order to calculate the mean daily fat intake. Stools are collected over 72 hours and pooled for analysis. The coefficient of fat absorption (CFA, % fat in the normal diet) is measured. Near infrared reflectance analysis (NIRA) has simplified the quantification of fat in stool. Steatorrhoea is present if more than 7% of ingested fat is excreted in patients over 6 months of age or more than 15% in patients under 6 months of age.

- **Strengths:** traditionally considered the gold standard for diagnosis of steatorrhoea; 92% sensitivity for PEI (but 42% specificity for PEI);
- **Limitations:** inconvenient for patients and potential for poor patient compliance; unpleasant for laboratory technicians; not widely available; does not distinguish between pancreatic and non-pancreatic causes.

Steatocrit

A steatocrit determines the proportion of fat in a single stool. Here, homogenised faeces are centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 minutes causing the lipid and aqueous phases to separate from each other and from the stool residue. A lipid phase representing less than 10% of volume is considered normal in patients older than 6 months of age. Perchloric acid can be added to the faecal homogenate to improve sensitivity of the test.

- **Strengths:** Easily done on a single stool sample; useful as a screening test; the acid steatocrit method correlates with the 3-day faecal fat test in patient cohorts but results of these tests were not found to be interchangeable on an individual patient basis.
- **Limitation:** The determination of steatocrit is not in general use.

Microscopic examination of stools for fat droplets

Microscopic examination of stool for fat globules may be used as a crude screening test for malabsorption. A simple qualitative technique utilises the Sudan III stain in which neutral fat globules are visualised under the microscope. If fat globules are present, then it may be prudent to perform additional tests.

- **Strength:** easily performed, cheap.
- **Limitation:** use as a crude screening test only.

Faecal chymotrypsin and elastase-1

Measurements of faecal chymotrypsin and elastase-1 have both been employed as measures of pancreatic exocrine secretion. FE-1 is a pancreas-specific protease. It is not degraded by intestinal passage. FE-1 is stable in faeces stored at room temperature for up to 3 days. In addition, it is concentrated five to six times higher in faeces compared with the concentration originally found in pancreatic secretion.

A low FE-1 concentration of <200 μg/g suggests PEI, whereas <100 μg/g suggests severe PEI. The reliability of the FE-1 test in determining the presence of PEI varies with the severity of PEI present as determined by direct tube testing of pancreatic function. Sensitivities for the test vary from 0–63% in mild-to-moderate cases of PEI in CP to 77–100% in moderate-to-severe PEI in CP, while specificities range from 80–95% in mild-to-moderate cases to 76–100% in moderate-to-severe cases. Likewise, it is not reliable for predicting CP in patients with equivocal imaging findings. Similarly, FE-1 concentrations are unable to differentiate patients with and without imaging evidence of CP with respect to calcification or abnormalities on ERCP.

In children, an FE-1 concentration of 100 μg/g stool provided excellent diagnostic performance to distinguish steatorrhoea caused by PI: 96% sensitivity and 93.6% specificity, 87.7% positive predictive value and 99% negative predictive value. FE-1 showed excellent sensitivity (98%) but a lower specificity of 80% as a
determinant of the underlying cause of steatorrhoea (pancreatic vs. intestinal). This low specificity was attributed to children with short gut syndrome who had FE-1 concentrations <100 μg/g stool.

- **Strengths:** FE-1 levels have been shown to have good correlation with duodenal pancreatic juice concentrations after secretin-cholecystokinin stimulation. The advantages of the FE-1 test over the 3-day faecal fat collection include:
  - it can be measured on a ‘spot’ stool specimen;
  - it is not affected by the quantity of dietary fat intake;
  - it is not affected by oral pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy, because it is specific to human elastase, while oral pancreatic enzyme replacement extracts are either bovine or porcine in origin. This is also an advantage of FE-1 over faecal chymotrypsin measurement.

- **Limitations:** Falsely low results are a major pitfall; false positive results have been shown to occur in up to 7% of healthy control individuals, and up to 38% of patients with non-pancreatic diarrhoea due to dilution. Diabetes mellitus, both types 1 and 2, have been associated with a high prevalence of low FE-1 levels, though it has been shown at least in type 1 diabetes mellitus that FE-1 is not reliable for diagnosing steatorrhoea or PEI; FE-1 is not sensitive for detecting PEI in gastrectomy patients due to asynchrony. FE-1 cannot be considered an adequate substitute for the 3-day faecal fat test for the diagnosis of PEI.

Faecal chymotrypsin is no longer employed as the faecal elastase-1 (FE-1) test has been deemed to be superior in terms of sensitivity and specificity.

**Breath tests**

Radiolabelled carbon breath tests are emerging as an indirect PFT in Europe. They use the fact that ingested lipids are mainly hydrolysed by pancreatic lipases in the small intestine, absorbed as free fatty acids and monoglycerides, and transported to the liver, where oxidative metabolism liberates carbon dioxide. Breath samples are taken before and after a test meal containing 13C-labelled substrate. The most widely used has been the 13C-labelled mixed triglyceride breath test. This involves the ingestion of 250 mg of 2-octanoyl (1-13C)-1,3 distearoyl glycerol (Eurisotop, Saint Aubin, France) with 16 g of fat. This substrate is digested by lipase, releasing 13C-labeled octanoic acid, which is then absorbed and metabolized to form 13CO2, and is ultimately released in expired breath. Breath samples are collected at 15 minute intervals for 6 hours. Abnormal results tend to correlate with other markers of advanced CP, such as the number of EUS features. The clinical use of radiolabelled breath tests for the diagnosis of PEI is still limited in Australia.

- **Limitations:** expensive substrates which are currently unavailable from Australian manufacturers; need to take samples over long test periods; poor sensitivity for diagnosing CP in patients without steatorrhoea; potential confounding effects of non-pancreatic organ dysfunction (biliary, intestinal, liver and lung); inability to differentiate between pancreatic and non-pancreatic causes of fat malabsorption.

**Urine tests**

Urine tests use non-absorbable substrates which are specifically cleaved by pancreatic enzymes. This results in the release of a rapidly absorbable marker which is conjugated in the liver and excreted in urine. Two substrates have been used – bentiromide and fluorescein dilaurate. Following substrate ingestion, urine is collected over a specified time period.

- **Limitation:** superseded by the FE-1 and direct tests with better specificity and sensitivity.

**Blood tests**

Trypsin is exclusively synthesised by the pancreas and small amounts are released into the blood as the proenzyme trypsinogen. Measurement of serum immunoreactive trypsinogen is a sensitive and relatively non-
invasive method of screening for pancreatic insufficiency in older children. It has been validated in children with cystic fibrosis and PEI due to other causes\textsuperscript{21,27}. Serum trypsinogen levels below 20 ng/mL are reasonably specific for PEI in patients over seven years of age. Elevated serum immunoreactive trypsinogen level is also used as part of the screening test for cystic fibrosis in newborns\textsuperscript{73}. Individuals with cystic fibrosis have elevated serum immunoreactive trypsinogen levels during the first years of life. The levels fall to subnormal values by 6 years of age in those cystic fibrosis patients who are pancreatic-insufficient.

- **Strengths**: sensitive and relatively non-invasive screening test.
- **Limitation**: fluctuating pattern in the first decade of life, hence serial measurements are needed then.

The importance of nutritional markers is being increasingly recognised.

Steatorrhoea is associated with a poorer nutritional status\textsuperscript{19} but fat-soluble vitamins can be deficient in patients with PEI who do not have overt steatorrhoea\textsuperscript{7}. There is good pathophysiological rationale for fat-soluble vitamin and lipoprotein deficiencies occurring as a consequence of PEI. Lindkvist et al\textsuperscript{8} examined the nutritional markers haemoglobin, mean corpuscular volume, lymphocyte count, prothrombin time, and serum levels of total protein, albumin, prealbumin, retinol binding protein, cholesterol, triglycerides, amylase, folic acid, vitamin B12, HbA1C, transferrin, ferritin, magnesium and zinc in a cohort of 114 people, where 38 had PEI. Patients with PEI had abnormal levels of magnesium (below 2.05 mg/dL), haemoglobin, albumin, prealbumin and retinol binding protein and HbA1C above the upper limit of normal on univariate analysis. Magnesium below 2.05 mg/dL detected PEI with sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of 0.88 (95% confidence interval, 0.66-0.97), 0.66 (0.48-0.80), 0.58 (0.39-0.75) and 0.91 (0.73-0.98), respectively. Although they concluded that serum nutritional markers can be used to predict the probability of PEI in CP, there is insufficient evidence at present to recommend use of these markers as a screening test for an individual patient and the ability of PERT to reverse these anomalies has not been reported. Patients with CP often have multiple factors affecting their nutritional status and to isolate PEI from these can be difficult. Nonetheless they are at risk of nutritional compromise and the presence of fat-soluble vitamin and nutritional marker anomalies can alert clinicians to the possibility of PEI.

Evaluation of the clinical use of tests

FE-1 has been quoted as having a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 85%\textsuperscript{33} for diagnosis of PEI in PEI Possible patients. If diagnosing 100 patients in the PEI Definite Group, its positive predictive value is very high (67/68) but the negative predictive value is low (4/32, Table 2.3). Interestingly, in the PEI Unlikely group, the positive predictive value (7/22) is quite low but the negative predictive value (77/80) is very high. Thus it has been proposed that the FE-1 test could be used as a screening test in these patients to validly eliminate those without PEI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test with 70% sensitivity and 85% specificity, e.g. FE-1</th>
<th>Test with 97% sensitivity and 94% specificity, e.g. ePFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEI Definite group 95% incidence of PEI</td>
<td>PEI no PEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve test</td>
<td>True +ve 67 False +ve 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=-ve test</td>
<td>False -ve 28 True -ve 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI Possible group 70% incidence of PEI</td>
<td>PEI no PEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve test</td>
<td>True +ve 49 False +ve 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=-ve test</td>
<td>False -ve 21 True -ve 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI Unlikely group 10% incidence of PEI</td>
<td>PEI no PEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve test</td>
<td>True +ve 7 False +ve 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>=-ve test</td>
<td>False -ve 3 True -ve 77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the patients with PEI possible an accurate work-up is most needed. This will require an assessment of PEI which is best obtained with a secretin stimulation test such as the ePFT which should be combined with an EUS.
to provide structural and functional information. However, this will require a longer sedation unless a tube is left in the duodenum at the completion of the EUS and aspirates retrieved in the recovery room. Because of the shortcomings of all these tests, there is as yet no single perfect test which can accurately diagnose PEI, particularly in the PEI Possible and PEI Unlikely patient groups. For PEI Definite, no diagnostic test is necessary. For patients where PEI is possible, imaging (CT or EUS), then a functional test (ePFT, Dreiling or $^{13}$C breath test if available) should be utilised. In the PEI Unlikely group, imaging followed by an FE-1 test should provide helpful information. If this indicates a low enzyme level, follow up with an ePFT or a Secretin-CCK stimulation test, depending on availability. In all patient groups, screening for nutritional markers including magnesium, fat-soluble vitamins and lipoproteins will unmask nutritional deficiencies.

Ultimately PERT can be trialled, and symptom improvement would support a diagnosis of PEI, but a placebo effect needs to be taken into consideration in this clinical scenario.

Summary and Recommendations

Symptoms of PEI

- Include abdominal pain, diarrhoea, weight loss and malnutrition.
- Do not manifest until duodenal lipase levels fall below 5-10% of normal postprandial levels.

Clinical consequences of PEI

- The most common is fat malabsorption.
- Maldigestion can result in steatorrhoea and weight loss (or failure to thrive in children), which are risk factors for high morbidity.
- Maldigestion is not always obvious; levels of micronutrients, fat-soluble vitamins and lipoproteins may be pathologically low but not clinically apparent.
- The possibility of non-pancreatic causes of steatorrhoea should be considered, e.g. Crohn’s disease of the ileum, in order to give appropriate treatment.

Testing for PEI. Patients with suspected PEI can be grouped into

- **Definite** (total pancreatectomy, severe calcific pancreatitis or neoplasm in the pancreatic head). No diagnostic test for PEI is needed.
- **Possible** (moderate structural alteration of the pancreas).

All tests for an accurate diagnosis of PEI have significant drawbacks but consider:

- Structural imaging. First step: CT with contrast - often good results, readily available. In some cases, CT may be followed with MRI, EUS and/or secretin-MRCP.
  - Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) may occasionally be required. It carries a significant measure of risk.
- Direct pancreatic function tests are the most specific and sensitive, but are too expensive, cumbersome and invasive for routine clinical use.
  - The ‘gold standard’ direct test for PEI has been the secretin-CCK stimulation test with duodenal tube.
This is likely to be replaced by the ePFT which can provide morphological as well as functional information. Results of these tests were shown in a small well-conducted cross-over RCT to correlate reasonably well, but sensitivity was only 83% and specificity 59% compared with the Dreiling tube test in this study.

Indirect pancreatic function tests.

The three-day faecal fat is the ‘gold standard’ for diagnosing steatorrhoea but is unpopular with patients and lab technicians. It is being superseded by the FE-1 test but without sufficient basis.

The FE-1 test is easy and conveniently done on a single stool sample but is appropriate only as a screening test for excluding PEI.

Blood tests for magnesium, nutritional markers, bone mineral density and in particular the fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E and K are important in the diagnostic workup as they may hint at the presence of PEI and should be part of the follow-up of all patients with suspected or proven PEI.

Unlikely (e.g. rare cases of IBS, IBD, coeliac disease, diabetes type II).

Tests for PEI are the same as for Possible cases (above), with even more chance of being inconclusive.

A trial of PERT can be considered but in the absence of an objective marker of PEI, the danger of a placebo response is considerable.
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Chapter 3

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy

Introduction

The primary treatment goal for pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) is to eliminate maldigestion/malabsorption and maintain adequate nutrition. Ideally, treatment would perfectly mimic the exocrine secretory response of a healthy pancreas in terms of the quantity, composition and timing of luminal enzymatic activity.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) is the mainstay of treatment for PEI. The objective is to deliver sufficient enzymatic activity into the duodenal lumen as simultaneously as possible with the meal in order to restore nutrient digestion and aid absorption. Two pancreatic enzyme replacement agents are available in Australia – Creon® and Panzytrat®. Porcine pancreatic enzyme extracts are known in other parts of the world as ‘pancreatin’ and ‘pancrelipase’.

Pancreatic enzyme formulations

Creon® is a porcine pancreatic enzyme extract encapsulated in minimicrospheres with a pH-sensitive coating. The minimicrospheres are similar in size to food particles to enable them to mix homogenously with the chyme (0.7-1.6 mm diameter). As of October 2014, Creon is available in capsules of three different strengths (Table 3.1). The 5,000u dose of Creon capsules is no longer available, but Creon enteric-coated granules are available as 5,000 BP units lipase in each 100mg scoop (called Creon Micro).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Creon 10,000 per capsule</th>
<th>Creon 25,000 per capsule</th>
<th>Creon 40,000 per capsule</th>
<th>Creon Micro granules per 20g scoop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipase (BP units)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase (BP units)</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease (Ph Eur Units)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panzytrat® 25000 is a porcine pancreatic enzyme preparation of encapsulated enteric-coated microtablets. The microtablets are uniform in size and shaped for maximum contact surface area (2 mm diameter convex spheres of thickness 1.90-2.10 mm). Each capsule contains no less than lipase 25,000 BP units, amylase 22,500 BP units and protease 1,250 Ph Eur Units.

Both preparations contain a pH-sensitive coating to allow the enzymes to mix with the chyme while being protected from inactivation by gastric acid. The intact enzymes then pass into the alkaline pH of the duodenum where the enteric coating rapidly dissolves and the enzymes are released.

Several factors influence the effectiveness of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy:

- Variations in enzyme content
- Size of the enzyme particles
Dissolution properties of the enteric coating.

These factors need to be taken into consideration when reviewing the literature and making recommendations for appropriate dosing regimens. They can also influence the bioequivalence of different formulations.

Next generation enzymes

New enzyme products which are not derived from pork or beef have undergone clinical investigation and have recently been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. They are biotechnology-derived lipase, protease and amylase which have cross links to protect them from the acid milieu of the stomach but are activated in a more neutral pH environment. They have been studied in 215 children aged 7 years and older with PEP as a result of cystic fibrosis in an open-label Phase III study. Over 12 months of the study all children maintained their anthropomorphic z scores and health, indicating that the enzyme preparation was effective for them, with no significant side effects. In a previous randomized controlled trial the same group demonstrated in 138 subjects that overall there was a 10% improvement in the coefficient of fat and nitrogen absorption by the use of these enzymes. These products have not been released in Australia but they would be more acceptable for those who find it difficult to swallow capsules and to people who prefer not to eat pork.

Enzyme content

Units of measurement for pancreatic enzyme content and activity vary internationally. The conversion factors for units of enzyme activity are shown in Table 3.2. The quality and content of a product or formulation must conform to the description laid out in the relevant pharmacopoeia. Since enzymes are sensitive proteins, their activity degrades with time. Measured enzyme activities are generally higher than the declared activities to ensure the required minimum activity at the end of shelf life.

Table 3.2. Conversion factors for units of enzyme activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>European Pharmacopoeia</th>
<th>Federation Internationale Pharmaceutique</th>
<th>British Pharmacopoeia</th>
<th>United States Pharmacopoeia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only free protease for pancreatin; total protease for pancreatic extract (pancrelipase).

Particle size

Theoretically, enzyme particles that are too large may not empty from the stomach as quickly as smaller food particles. The dissociation of duodenal passage of nutrients and enzymes could prevent their ability to aid digestion. Two studies have investigated the effects of enzyme particle size on gastric transit time. Another two studies compared the effectiveness of microspheres and minimicrospheres on fat excretion.

A study in 26 healthy subjects was conducted to identify the size of spheres that would empty from the stomach with food and to determine whether different meals altered the size. This study showed that sphere size was a more important determinant of sphere emptying than meal size. Gastric transit time was inversely related to sphere diameter. One millimetre spheres emptied consistently faster than 2.4 or 3.2 mm spheres when ingested together with either 420 g or 100 g meals. The ideal sphere size was found to be 1.4 +/- 0.3 mm in diameter. This emptied at the same rate as the test meal of chicken liver.
Another study compared the gastric transit time of 2 mm microspheres with 1.2 mm minimicrospheres in pancreatic-insufficient subjects with cystic fibrosis. Patients consumed 20 g of free oil in spaghetti meals or 20 g of oil emulsified in a milk meal. This study did not show a difference in gastric transit time between the two preparations.

The effect of microspheres (1-2 mm diameter) and smaller minimicrospheres (0.7-1.25 mm diameter) on fat excretion and fat intake was evaluated in a double-blind, randomised, multicentre, crossover study. Twenty-three patients with chronic pancreatitis and faecal fat excretion of greater than 7.5 g/day during a placebo period were randomly assigned to receive the two treatments in random order. The results showed that the minimicrospheres were equally effective as microspheres in improving the coefficient of fat absorption. Similar results were obtained in a study of 24 cystic fibrosis patients. Patients took microspheres for 14 days and were then randomised to 28 days of microspheres followed by 28 days of minimicrospheres or vice versa. Stool fat (g/day) and coefficient of fat absorption were measured at the end of each treatment period, and both products were found to be therapeutically equivalent.

Taken together, these results suggest that spheres with a diameter of 2 mm or less are mixed intragastrically with the meal and emptied intact into the duodenum within the chyme. However, a further decrease in sphere size may not be associated with greater clinical benefit.

Dissolution properties of the enteric coating

All digestive enzymes are susceptible to acid degradation, especially lipase. Modern preparations protect enzymes from denaturation with a pH-sensitive enteric coating. The polymer coatings of these preparations are designed to release the enzymes when exposed to the higher pH environment of the duodenum. If enzyme release takes too long after exposure to the intestinal milieu, then the digestive action may be delayed. Therefore the physicochemical properties of the enteric coating are crucial for the efficacy of enzyme therapy.

Duodenal pH is normally between 6 and 7, but after a meal, it drops to around 5.5. In vitro studies show that the coating of most preparations dissolves over a variable period of time at a pH 5.0-6.0. Most preparations show more than 90% dissolution within 30 minutes at pH greater than 6.0.

These results suggest that even if enzyme preparations have equivalent enzyme content, they may not be equivalent with respect to their release of enzymatic activity.

Adjunct therapy for acid suppression

The pH of the duodenum in patients with pancreatic disease may be even lower than normal due to bicarbonate deficiency. It has been shown that duodenal pH declines to less than 4 after 100 minutes postprandially in some patients with PEI due to chronic pancreatitis. This lower pH may impair the release of enteric coated pancreatic enzymes and reduce their effectiveness. In theory, acid suppression may improve fat absorption by providing a duodenal environment more conducive to efficient enzyme function.

Several different classes of agents have been used to evaluate the role of acid suppression in the treatment of PEI (Table 3.3). In general, the results have been mixed, and there is limited evidence that these agents improve fat absorption in patients with PEI on enzyme therapy. However, they may be useful in patients who continue to experience symptoms of PEI, particularly steatorrhoea, despite enzyme therapy.
Table 3.3: Acid suppressing agents evaluated for pancreatic exocrine insufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antacids</td>
<td>Hydroxides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂-receptor antagonists</td>
<td>Cimetidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Famotidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranitidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton pump inhibitors</td>
<td>Omeprazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lansoprazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin analogues</td>
<td>Enprostil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Misoprostol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 6-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial evaluated the efficacy of misoprostol (100 μg, 4 times daily) in improving fat absorption in 17 children with cystic fibrosis already on pancreatic enzyme therapy. Misoprostol did not further improve fat absorption in those patients who had >90% absorption on enzyme therapy alone. However, a significant improvement with misoprostol was observed in those with <90% absorption on standard enzyme therapy.

These results are in agreement with another study involving 11 cystic fibrosis patients with faecal fat excretion >10% while on enzyme therapy. This double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study evaluated the effect of gastric acid inhibition by 20 mg omeprazole. Adjunct therapy with omeprazole resulted in a significant reduction in faecal fat excretion.

The efficacy of omeprazole was also evaluated in another randomised, crossover study involving 15 patients with cystic fibrosis who had residual steatorrhoea despite high-dose pancreatic enzyme supplements (≥10,000 lipase/kg/day). In this study, omeprazole significantly improved fat digestion and absorption. Median faecal fat loss decreased from 13 g/day to 5.5 g/day with a similar improvement noted when fat absorption was calculated. The coefficient of fat absorption was 87% without omeprazole versus 94% with omeprazole.

Recommended doses

In healthy individuals, the amount of digestive enzymes released postprandially far exceeds the amount required for normal digestive function. In PEI, between 5% and 10% of normal cumulative enzyme outputs may be enough to aid digestion and improve clinical symptoms.

The relationship between dose of pancreatic enzymes required and the presence of malabsorption and maldigestion is not linear. Therefore, doses need to be individually titrated to the lowest effective dose.

In adults, the initial dose recommended is 25,000 to 40,000 units lipase with each meal. Timing of the dose is important. Dosing before meals is slightly less effective than during or after the meal. The dose can then be titrated up to a maximum of 75,000 to 80,000 units lipase per meal. More than 80,000 units lipase per meal is very expensive and should seldom be needed. A cause of treatment failure should be investigated here.

In children, 500 to 4,000 units lipase per gram of dietary fat may be given. Alternatively the amount of enzymes may be calculated based on bodyweight; using this method, children younger than 4 years may be given 1,000 units lipase per kilogram bodyweight during each meal. Children older than 4 years may be given 500 units lipase per kilogram per meal. The enzyme doses should be halved for snacks.

In infants, 500 to 1,000 units lipase per gram of dietary fat is recommended. Alternatively, infants may be given 2,000 to 4,000 units lipase per breastfeed or 120 mL of infant formula.

In infants and children, the maximum dose recommendation is 10,000 units of lipase per kilogram per day.

The efficacy of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy for specific conditions is described in subsequent chapters.
Determination of response to treatment

This is a difficult problem because it is not practical to undertake frequent 3-day faecal fat tests to determine the coefficients of fat absorption and nitrogen absorption (CFA and CAN), which are the gold standard outcome measures. Stool FE-1 measures are not appropriate to measure treatment outcome. Clinical evaluation can be subjective. Some use the $^{13}$C breath tests but they are not freely available. Some practitioners recommend increasing the dose if patients do not respond to treatment, which has some rationale because the total enzyme dose is relatively small compared to the normal enzyme output of a healthy pancreas. However other reasons for a poor response should be considered, such as timing of dose so that the enzymes mix with the food, and bacterial overgrowth.

Complications of PERT

- Fibrosing colonopathy has been reported in patients with cystic fibrosis taking high dose pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (REF). High dosing in children was considered a cause of fibrosing colonopathy but this is not thought to be a problem with modern medication.
- Use caution when prescribing PERT to patients with gout, renal impairment, or hyperuricaemia, and in patients with pork allergies.
- There is a theoretical risk of viral transmission with pancreatic enzyme products.
- PERT should always be taken with food and water. PERT should not be crushed or chewed. Concomitant taking of antacids may result in disruption of the coating of the granules and if there is an acidic area of the distant stomach it may destroy the enzymes.
- Some patients complain of abdominal pain and others complain of diarrhoea but the reason is not defined in the literature.

Summary

- Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy is the main pharmacological treatment for PEI. Modern preparations contain pancreatic extract encapsulated in microtablets or (mini)microspheres with pH-sensitive enteric coating.
- The enzymes mix intragastrically with the chyme while being protected from acid degradation by the enteric coating. The enzymes are then emptied from the stomach simultaneously with the chyme. The higher pH in the duodenum dissolves the enteric coating, releasing the enzymes at the appropriate site for digestion and absorption.
- Not all pancreatic enzyme replacement agents are equivalent, although the therapeutic implications of the differences are not yet clear.
Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Patients with PEI should be commenced on the lowest recommended dose of PERT (25,000-40,000 units lipase per meal), with a view to then titrating upwards according to clinical response.</td>
<td>3c (critical review)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>The dose of PERT should be increased if necessary and titrated against the presence of malabsorption to the lowest effective dose.</td>
<td>3c (critical review)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>In adults, the <strong>maximum</strong> recommended dose of PERT is 75,000 to 80,000 units lipase with each meal.</td>
<td>3c (critical review)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Enzymes are most effective when given with the meal, rather than before or after it.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>In infants and children, the maximum recommended dose is 10,000 units lipase per kilogram per day, taken during meals.</td>
<td>5 (clinical guidelines)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Trial acid-suppressing agents in those patients who continue to experience symptoms of PEI despite high-dose PERT.</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 4

Dietary management of PEI

Introduction

The management of patients with pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) is a complex issue. A thorough nutrition assessment is essential due to the weight loss and malnutrition risk in adults or lack of weight gain in children associated with malabsorption, and the potential for nutritional deficiency.

The involvement of a dietitian to oversee dietary management is essential. The dietitian’s role is to make an initial assessment of the nutritional status of each patient, their weight status, macronutrient intake as well as any micronutrient deficiencies. Dietary advice can then be specifically tailored to improve each patient’s nutritional issue. The dietitian can also play a significant role in ongoing patient management by monitoring dietary and PERT compliance, nutritional deficiencies, weight status and steatorrhoea.

Nutrition Assessment

1. Aetiology of PEI
   It is important to understand the underlying diagnosis and cause of PEI so nutritional management can address both the PEI and any specific needs associated with the disease state. Nutritional management differs according to different diagnoses, particularly in recommendations of meal size, frequency, and the potential need for nutritional supplementation.

2. Diet history
   A detailed diet history is essential to establish baseline diet. This enables an estimation of the patient’s total energy, fat and protein intake which can then answer some important questions regarding the dietary adequacy of each individual. From this assessment the fat content of the diet can be established and adjusted upwards if not consuming enough fat or has been following a low fat diet. The patient’s protein intake and total energy intake will determine whether sufficient calories are being consumed for weight gain and protein for muscle mass preservation.

   How the patient eats is important – do they eat three meals/day or smaller, more frequent meals which in general are better tolerated? Previous history of diets and/or food modification is important as it may explain why the patient is eating in their current pattern. An assessment of the patient’s understanding of PEI and why they are taking digestive enzymes enables a better understanding of where to direct nutritional recommendations and educate accordingly. It is also important to determine the patient’s independence regarding physical and cognitive function which can influence compliance with PERT.

   As alcohol inhibits gastric lipase secretion and therefore contributes to fat malabsorption\(^1\), an alcohol assessment is advised and zero alcohol intake is recommended. Patients may often tell their treating specialist they are alcohol-free but disclose it to the dietitian, which is crucial feedback to the specialist.

   A history should be taken of their current medication use, both prescribed medication and any vitamins or minerals that are consumed.

3. Malnutrition assessment
   Weight loss in adults or lack of weight gain in children is common in PEI due to malabsorption and the patient’s fear of eating. Maldigestion can occur before steatorrhoea is apparent and is associated with future weight loss\(^2\). Weight loss is usually gradual in chronic pancreatitis and develops in the early stages of the disease before steatorrhoea develops. In contrast, weight loss is rapid in pancreatic cancer. Up to 90% of patients with pancreatic cancer present with weight loss and malabsorption at the time of diagnosis\(^3\).
In children with cystic fibrosis (CF), malnutrition continues to be a major clinical problem. More information on assessment and treatment of CF patients can be found in the Australasian Clinical Practice Guidelines for Nutrition in Cystic Fibrosis.

Assessment of weight status can be difficult when fluid changes (ascites, oedema) or cultural body shape differences may exist. Use of Body Mass Index (BMI) alone can misinterpret the patient’s weight status. Additional anthropometric measures used in conjunction with BMI may be more effective in detecting malnutrition.

The table below summarises the common anthropometric measures which have been used extensively in PEI research to assess weight status and malnutrition.

**Table 4.1: Common anthropometric measures of weight and malnutrition in PEI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (BMI)</td>
<td>Common method of assessing weight status of population groups as well as individuals. Limitations in individuals due to variations in lean muscle mass and fluid mass. Best used in conjunction with other anthropometric tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skinfold (TSF)</td>
<td>Simple caliper measurement taken on the back of the triceps. Estimates subcutaneous fat stores and can be compared with age- and gender-specific centiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-arm circumference (MAC)</td>
<td>Measured using a tape measure on mid-point of upper arm. Can be used to estimate lean body mass and compared with age- and gender-specific centiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-arm muscle circumference (MAMC)</td>
<td>Using the TSF and MAC measurements, MAMC can be estimated using an equation and results compared with relevant centile charts to give this estimate of muscle mass and protein status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Global Assessment (SGA)</td>
<td>A clinical nutritional index involving a standardized questionnaire. Uses dietary intake information, recent body weight changes, GI symptoms, functional capacity and physical signs of malnutrition to assess malnutrition. Easy and relatively quick to perform and has been used in PEI research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Vitamin & mineral status**

Fat malabsorption due to PEI can result in deficiencies in fat soluble vitamins (A, D, E & K). It is recommended that fat-soluble vitamins be measured at the time of diagnosis and monitored at least annually in patients with established PEI. Appropriate vitamin supplements, given orally or parenterally, should be prescribed and patients monitored for compliance.

Vitamin B12 deficiency may be observed, particularly post-gastrectomy, as intrinsic factor in the stomach facilitates vitamin B12 absorption in the terminal ileum. Any surgery which reduces intrinsic factor and gastric acidity will reduce vitamin B12 absorption. B12 deficiency is generally treated with injections but a daily 100mg tablet is effective and absorption can be improved if given sublingually.

Iron deficiency may occur, particularly in surgical procedures where the duodenum has been bypassed, the primary site for iron absorption. Reduced gastric acidity also impairs conversion of ferric iron to the more absorbable ferrous form, hence any gastric reduction surgery or protein pump inhibitor use will affect the ability of iron to be absorbed.

5. **Bone health**

There is a growing understanding of the significance and incidence of bone disease in PEI – an area which has been often neglected when treating patients with PEI. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis looking at chronic pancreatitis patients which included 10 studies with 513 eligible patients for inclusion, revealed the pooled prevalence rate for osteoporosis was 23.4% and 39.8% for osteopaenia. The pooled prevalence rate for either condition was 65%. As almost two thirds of chronic pancreatitis patients therefore have either osteoporosis or osteopaenia, early assessment and appropriate treatment in this population is essential.
The pathogenesis of low bone mineral density is likely to be multifactorial. Factors suggested include low vitamin D levels, poor dietary intake, reduced absorption, excess vitamin D faecal loss, smoking and low sunlight exposure\(^5\). Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the gold standard for measuring bone mineral density. The American Gastroenterological Association in its recommendations on osteoporosis in GI disease (IBD, coeliac disease and post-gastrectomy) recommended that patients with one or more known osteoporosis risk factors should undergo screening with DXA\(^6\). Whilst chronic pancreatitis and PEI was not included in these GI disease conditions, patients with these conditions should undergo the same screening protocol, given the incidence of bone disease in this group.

A DXA scan should be performed once PEI is diagnosed, particularly in patients with another bone density risk factor such as post-menopausal status in women, those with previous low trauma fractures and men over 50 years old\(^5\). The scan should be repeated after two years\(^5,9\) and appropriate vitamin D and calcium supplementation initiated with referral to a bone specialist where appropriate.

**Nutrition intervention & PEI**

1. **Carbohydrate Requirement**

   Carbohydrate digestion is not overly compromised in PEI due to ongoing digestion from salivary amylase and brush border enzymes which are independent of a compromised pancreas. The loss of endocrine function can lead to Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) and eventually diabetes which require dietary modification to treat. IGT has been reported to occur in 40-90% of chronic pancreatitis patients, while 20-30% of cases require insulin therapy\(^10\).

2. **Protein Requirement**

   Like carbohydrate, protein digestion is successfully maintained in PEI. This is due to ongoing brush border peptide release. Severe cases of PEI which result in malnutrition may see a reduction in dietary protein intake which is more a supply issue rather than a digestion issue. Nutritional supplementation and or enteral feeding are sometimes required and are discussed separately, below. It is recommended that dietary protein intake meets 1.0-1.5g/kg body weight/day for adequacy\(^11\).

3. **Fat requirement**

   Fat digestion in the small intestine requires pancreatic lipase in combination with cofactors such as bile salts and colipase. Given there are no triglyceride digestive enzymes released from the small intestinal brush border, fat digestion is totally dependent on pancreatic enzymes. Fat digestion therefore remains the main nutritional consideration and issue in patients with PEI. Significant malabsorption of fat usually occurs when pancreatic lipase secretion falls below 10% of normal\(^12\) and steatorrhoea results. Historically dietary fat intake has been restricted in patients with PEI to minimise fat malabsorption and reduce steatorrhoea. Diets as low as 20g/day of fat have been prescribed\(^1\), however the concern has been that such diets are lower in total energy and fat soluble vitamins. More recently, studies have successfully used higher fat diets with adequate PERT with good results. A randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled trial showed that lipase-deficient chronic pancreatitis patients do not require a fat-restricted diet when adequate enzyme therapy is prescribed\(^13\). In this study patients consumed at least 100g of dietary fat/day.

   Low fat or reduced fat diets are not therefore recommended. A target of 30% total energy from dietary fat is now considered appropriate\(^11\) with an adequate enzyme prescription. A higher fat diet may be recommended by the dietitian for some patients who are having difficulty gaining weight or maintaining weight. Close attention to adverse symptoms such as steatorrhoea is recommended, particularly when using higher fat diets (i.e. >30% total energy).

   The use of medium chain triglycerides (MCT) has been recommended in the past as MCT do not require pancreatic enzymes or bile for absorption. However MCT have not shown any consistent benefits over long chain triglycerides when PERT is used to manage PEI. A randomised controlled trial showed that MCT-enriched
commercial supplements offered no advantage over a homemade balanced diet for improving nutritional status of patients with chronic pancreatitis\textsuperscript{14}. MCTs have also shown to have poor palatability and higher cost\textsuperscript{10}.

4. Energy requirements

Individual energy requirements can vary enormously but 30 kcal/kg body mass has been suggested in the Spanish Guidelines\textsuperscript{11}. This appears a logical target but close attention to weight/BMI and nutritional progress may see this target increased in some cases.

5. Nutrition Support

_Tips for increasing energy intake_

- Use full fat dairy products rather than reduced fat products
- Use spreadable fats (e.g. butter, margarine, peanut butter, cream cheese, mayonnaise) wherever possible on crackers, on vegetables, in mashed potato, in sandwiches. Mono- or polyunsaturated fats are preferred due to their cardiovascular benefits over saturated fats
- Fry meat, chicken, fish and vegetables in plenty of mono- or polyunsaturated oil or margarine
- Enjoy high fat snacks such as nuts, seeds, cheese & crackers, dips, chips, cake and biscuits
- Have high fat desserts after meals (e.g. cheesecake, puddings, ice cream, custard)
- Enjoy nutritious low fat foods (fruit, dried fruit, vegetables, bread) but try to consume with additional fats such as margarine, nuts, cream or butter
- Make sure main meals include a generous portion of protein (e.g. meat, fish, chicken, eggs, tofu/vegetable protein)
- Make up fortified milk (add skim milk powder to fresh milk) to increase protein and energy, and use on cereal, in tea and coffee, to make custards and desserts etc.

_Oral nutritional supplements_

In patients who are struggling to achieve their energy or protein requirements orally with their regular diet, oral polymeric high energy/protein nutritional supplements can successfully be used. Most commercial formulations contain fat so an adequate PERT dose is necessary, however some formulations are simply carbohydrate and protein-based so would not require PERT. In the chronic pancreatitis population, 10-15% of patients have been reported to require oral nutritional supplements\textsuperscript{10}.

_Enteral feeding_

When a patient requires enteral feeding either to supplement inadequate oral intake or for their sole source of nutrition and that patient cannot consume pancreatic enzymes orally, PERT needs to be administered via a feeding tube. In the chronic pancreatitis population, enteral nutrition has been reported to be necessary in 5% of cases\textsuperscript{10}.

In the absence of evidence-based guidelines, various practical strategies have been suggested for administering PERT via the enteral feeding tube\textsuperscript{15,16}. Whatever the strategy chosen, best practice guidelines\textsuperscript{17,18} should also be adhered to in order to minimise tube occlusion, which is a common complication associated with enteral feeding\textsuperscript{19}.

The following are recommendations based on the available, yet limited evidence for situations in which PEI has been suspected or confirmed and enteral feeding considered appropriate:

_Formula selection_
Peptide-based formula has been shown to be safe for use with acute pancreatitis patients, so a polymeric standard formula should be trialled before resorting to an elemental formula. No studies in adult PEI patients have compared peptide-based formula and standard formula with pancreatic enzyme administration to manage symptoms of PEI. However in paediatric CF patients, a standard polymeric formula given with PERT was absorbed as well as an elemental formula. The combination of a standard formula with PERT is likely to be more cost-effective than an elemental formula.

**Enzyme administration techniques**

- Product information advises against mixing enzymes with formula.
- Dose: start with 1000u enzyme preparation in granular form per gram of fat provided as a bolus via the feed throughout the day, then divide into 2-3 hourly doses, each as a bolus. Note this is based on paediatric CF guidelines as there are no adult equivalents for fat-based dosing.
- Do not crush the enzyme product.

**Table 4.2: Enzyme administration techniques with enteral feeding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube endpoint</th>
<th>Suggested technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>Use thickened acidic fluid e.g. mildly thick juice. Mix microspheres (prefer 5000u size) with 50-100ml thick fluid and draw up in syringe. Flush with water. <strong>Tube size:</strong> 10Fr or greater. Sprinkle microspheres on small amount of baby food (pH &lt;4.5 e.g. applesauce and bananas), stir, then after 15min, pour into a 35ml syringe and administer slowly, ~15mls in 10-15 seconds. Flush with water. <strong>Tube size:</strong> 16Fr and greater. Dispense microspheres with 1x10ml vial 8.4% sodium bicarbonate solution with doses of 20,000 or 40,000u lipase, or 2 x 10ml 8.4% bicarbonate solution with greater doses and allow to dissolve for 30minutes, then flush through feeding tube. Flush with water. <strong>Tube size:</strong> 16Fr or greater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>Dispense microspheres with 1x10ml vial 8.4% sodium bicarbonate solution with doses of 20,000 or 40,000u lipase or 2 x 10ml 8.4% bicarbonate solution with greater doses, and allow to dissolve for 30 minutes, then flush through feeding tube. Flush with water. <strong>Tube size:</strong> any size.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Meal frequency and size**

Nutrient intake is logically better distributed across six or more smaller meals throughout the day. A smaller meal or snack with suitable enzyme dose will reduce the amount of fat in the meal that can be malabsorbed. Larger meals may not be appetising to some patients due to nausea or anorexia, and require significant gastric mixing followed by simultaneous emptying of the chyme with the enzymes. This mixing of chyme with the enzymes is considered more efficient when smaller meals or snacks are consumed. However there is no benefit changing to this regimen if a patient is tolerating three meals/day with PERT well and is well nourished.

7. **Timing of enzyme intake**

Timing related to meals can influence the effectiveness of pancreatic enzymes. If enzymes are taken before the meal, enzymes may be emptied from the stomach before the meal is emptied and only part of the meal will be adequately digested. If enzymes are taken too long after the meal, some of the meal may be emptied before the enzymes, and again digestion can be incomplete. Enzymes taken during the meal therefore appear the most logical option. In a study of 24 patients with PEI, the effectiveness of enzyme therapy administered just before, during or after the meal was evaluated. Patients were treated with 40,000 IU of lipase for three consecutive one-week crossover periods. Fat digestion before and during the three treatment periods was evaluated. The results showed that fat digestion tended to be higher when capsules were taken during or immediately after the meal.

Product information recommends that PERT is given with a drink of water, but not milk. Milk can dissolve the enteric coating in the stomach, releasing the enzymes before they are required in the intestines.
After a total gastrectomy, administration of enzymes may need to be different given lack of gastric acid to help dissolve the capsule. Consider dispensing enteric coated microspheres in acidic liquid (e.g. a small amount of fruit juice) and consume on commencement of oral intake in order to allow enzymes to remain deactivated until reaching bile in the small bowel.

8. Nutrition monitoring & evaluation

Nutrition monitoring and evaluation aims -

- To achieve normal nutritional status via adequate use of PERT
- To equip the patient to be independent with appropriate use of PERT with diet.

The following are suggested-

- Regular patient reviews until stable
- Checking for resolution of maldigestion and malabsorption (i.e. weight, bowel habits and consistency, post-prandial symptoms)
- Checking for compliance with medication
- If limited progress, consider increasing the dose of PERT +/- Proton Pump Inhibitors and report back to GP/Specialist prescribing PERT.

Some key points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nearly two thirds of patients with CP have osteoporosis or osteopaenia</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine nutritional assessment of patients with PEI is essential due to the potential impact of malabsorption on nutritional status and quality of life</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT-enriched commercial supplements offer no advantage over a homemade balanced diet for improving nutritional status of patients with chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td>1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Monitor weight and anthropometric parameters, vitamins A, D, E, K and B12, and iron, calcium and zinc levels at diagnosis of PEI and at least annually, and treat where necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Refer patients with PEI to a dietitian for nutrition assessment, counselling and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Lipase-deficient chronic pancreatitis patients do not require a fat-restricted diet when adequate enzyme therapy is prescribed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Encourage patients to avoid alcohol, consume a normal fat diet with sufficient protein and carbohydrate foods to achieve adequate nutrition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Advise patients to take PERT during the meal but not before the meal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Oral nutritional supplementation is recommended where additional energy and protein are required and enteral feeding should be initiated when oral intake is inadequate to meet requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Dietary protein intake should meet 1.0 - 1.5g/kg body weight/day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Screen patients with PEI and CP for bone disease using DXA at diagnosis and after two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>30% total energy from dietary fat is now considered appropriate, except in patients with cystic fibrosis, where 40% is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 5

Acute pancreatitis and the use of PERT

Introduction

Acute pancreatitis is a common inflammatory condition triggered by the unregulated activation of trypsin within pancreatic acinar cells. Many aetiologies for acute pancreatitis exist, the most common being gallstones and alcohol abuse. In about 10% of cases, no cause is identifiable. In about 10% of cases, no cause is identifiable.

The incidence in Australia from a study from North Queensland was estimated to be 77 per 100,000, which is consistent with incidence from Europe. Recently the classification of acute pancreatitis has evolved to Mild, Moderate and Severe, where Moderate represents cases with transient organ failure, local complications or exacerbation of co-morbid disease and Severe pancreatitis results in multi-organ failure. Most episodes of acute pancreatitis are mild (without complication) and these patients are generally discharged from hospital within three days. Approximately 15-20% of patients require more than three days’ hospitalisation and about one third of these have very severe pancreatitis resulting in pancreatic and peri-pancreatic collections which are associated with systemic complications. Overall, the mortality in severe acute pancreatitis is between 5 and 15%, but is higher in elderly patients with infected pancreatic necrosis and multisystem organ failure.

Effect of acute pancreatitis on pancreatic exocrine function

When the head of the pancreas is disrupted by pancreatic necrosis in severe pancreatitis, enzymes cannot flow into the duodenum. Such patients will have little effective enzymic digestion and are therefore clear candidates for long term enzyme replacement therapy. However in many, the pancreatic necrosis heals in a way where the pancreatic duct is preserved sufficiently to allow for recovery of enzyme production and flow. This process can be prolonged, but at three-year follow-up in one series, FE-1 testing showed that enzyme secretion had usually returned to normal. Severe acute pancreatitis has a significant impact on a patient’s nutritional reserves which would be expected to be more slowly repaired if pancreatic function were impaired by interference with pancreatic secretion. Indeed, studies have demonstrated a slow recovery of physical function taking up to three years.

Pancreatic function impairment after acute pancreatitis has mostly been studied using FE-1 testing because of convenience. In 2012 Xu et al showed that low FE-1 levels were more frequently seen after severe pancreatitis than mild pancreatitis (in 60.5 v. 39.5% of cases, respectively). In this study, patients requiring surgery had lower FE-1 levels but a background of chronic pancreatitis did not appear to be important. On the other hand, low levels of FE-1 have been used to distinguish patients with chronic pancreatitis from those with acute pancreatitis, with a sensitivity of 79.5% and a specificity of 98%.

The aetiology of acute pancreatitis may be an important factor influencing pancreatic insufficiency but studies investigating this question are difficult to conduct and have been measured at different periods. One study demonstrated more severe pancreatic dysfunction resulting from an alcohol insult than from biliary pancreatitis. This is explained by the greater likelihood of alcohol causing recurrent insults to the pancreas and a degree of pancreatic scarring that is not always evident when the patient presents. Similarly, alcoholic pancreatitis is more likely to recur some years after the acute event.

When taken together, the data support the fact that some patients have pancreatic exocrine dysfunction for a period of time after an episode of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency occurs more frequently in patients with alcohol as the aetiological factor, in those recovering from severe episodes versus mild episodes and in those who developed necrosis or pseudocyst. It would appear reasonable to offer the malnourished group enzyme replacement therapy and to use the FE-1 test as a means of determining which patients have normal enzyme production and therefore could be excluded from treatment, bearing in mind...
the false positive rate of this test (see Chapter 2). It should be noted that some studies undertaken before 2004 demonstrated the onset of steatorrhoea but in no study where patients were randomised to receive enzyme treatment were nutritional outcomes measured, nor was it noted if steatorrhoea was reversed. The level of PEI may be insufficient to cause malabsorption.

**Early phase acute pancreatitis**

Limited data are available on exocrine pancreatic function in the early phase of acute pancreatitis. In a small case-control study, interdigestive pancreatic secretion was compared between eight patients with acute pancreatitis within 72 hours of symptom onset and 26 normal subjects using a duodenal intubation perfusion technique. The results showed that exocrine pancreatic secretion in the early phase of mild-to-moderate acute pancreatitis remained within the normal range. In a more recent study of 75 patients experiencing their first episode of acute pancreatitis, FE-1 was determined on the day of re-feeding, which was on average 11.2 days after the attack. Abnormal FE-1 values were found in 9 of the 75 patients. The results were not significantly related to severity.

**The convalescent phase of acute pancreatitis**

Impaired exocrine pancreatic function as determined by the para-aminobenzoic acid test (no longer used) has been demonstrated in the convalescent period after an episode of acute pancreatitis. Two to six months after the attack, four out of six patients tested still had abnormal results and improved such that only three of 15 patients had abnormal results after one year.

In a study of 54 patients with alcoholic acute pancreatitis who were evaluated by secretin-stimulated magnetic resonance pancreatography, baseline measures demonstrated exocrine insufficiency in 34% and this reduced to 9% by two years.

In another study, 18 patients surviving at least one month after necrosectomy for acute necrotising pancreatitis, were monitored for steatorrhoea. At the time of discharge from hospital, 13 patients had PEI as determined by faecal fat excretion of greater than 7g/d. After six weeks, nine of these patients retained exocrine insufficiency that continued for six months. By 18 months, seven of these nine patients had resolution of symptoms, but steatorrhoea persisted in two patients.

The pancreatic exocrine function of 75 patients who had a single attack of acute pancreatitis was studied by secretin/caerulein tube test or by an amino acid consumption test. Among the 36 patients with alcoholic pancreatitis, 29 had impaired pancreatic function between four and 18 months after the episode. Of the 39 patients with biliary pancreatitis, only nine had pancreatic insufficiency. When the tests were repeated one year later, 18 out of 23 patients with alcoholic pancreatitis continued to have pancreatic insufficiency, whereas only four out of 26 patients with biliary pancreatitis showed insufficiency.

A prospective cohort study in 39 patients determined fat malabsorption following severe acute biliary pancreatitis and, further, evaluated the influence of necrosectomy on pancreatic exocrine function after 12 months. Most of the patients with necrosectomy had abnormal exocrine pancreatic function, with steatorrhoea in 25%. By comparison, exocrine function was abnormal in only 13.3% of patients who did not require surgery, with no cases of steatorrhoea. This may reflect that the patients with more severe pancreatitis required surgery.

Another prospective study assessed pancreatic exocrine function using the FE-1 test in 23 patients recovering from a first attack of acute pancreatitis and evaluated its relationship to severity of attack and extent of pancreatic necrosis. Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency occurred in 6 out of 7 patients recovering from severe attacks compared with two of 16 patients recovering from mild attacks. All five patients who developed pancreatic necrosis or pseudocyst developed PEI whereas only three out of 18 patients who did not develop necrosis or pseudocyst had exocrine insufficiency. The development of PEI was strongly correlated with the extent of pancreatic necrosis. In contrast to the above studies, an examination of 63 patients with acute
biliary pancreatitis found no deficiency in pancreatic exocrine function using a range of tests at one, six and 12 months after the episode. In a further study where faecal fat excretion was used to demonstrate reduced exocrine function, there was a delay in recovery of more than 4.6 years after an attack of severe pancreatitis.

**Long-term follow up**

As shown above, pancreatic exocrine function gradually improves following an episode of acute pancreatitis. The length of recovery appears to depend on the severity of the episode, with more severe cases having the longest recovery phase. Long term, many patients recover their pancreatic exocrine function, but in some, impairment remains. Some factors appear to influence these outcomes.

The late outcome of acute alcoholic pancreatitis was studied in 47 patients with moderate clinical course. Pancreatic exocrine function was found to be impaired in nearly two-thirds of patients four to seven years after the acute episode. Similar results were observed in 34 patients who had recovered from biliary or post-ERCP acute pancreatitis after an average of 4.6 years’ follow up. In a retrospective study, 35 patients with severe acute pancreatitis were followed for a median period of seven years, when nine patients showed signs of severe exocrine dysfunction. In another study of 30 patients who had completed at least six months of recovery, 12 had abnormal faecal fat excretion at that time. There was a higher frequency of PEI in the first year after recovery, and its incidence decreased as duration of follow-up increased. Further, it has been shown that in patients treated by minimally invasive techniques, function is more likely to be preserved than in those treated by open surgery.

Long-term follow up of 27 patients with necro-haemorrhagic pancreatitis treated with conservative surgery showed an almost complete recovery of exocrine function within four years. In a later paper examining a total of 118 cases the authors demonstrated in a select group of 17 with pancreatic duct strictures who were followed with three separate ERCPs over ten years, that the strictures were unchanged in ten, improved in two and worse in 3 cases. Similar results were reported in 28 patients with infected pancreatic necrosis treated with necrosectomy. During follow up two to eight years after discharge, median FE-1 concentrations were seen to be in the normal range.

In contrast, in 63 patients who underwent pancreatic necrosectomy, one quarter were found to have exocrine insufficiency during a median follow up of 28.9 months. Similarly, a long-term study evaluating the outcomes after operative treatment of necrotising pancreatitis found that one-quarter developed clinical PEI during the mean follow up of five years. Patients with less than 27% necrosis of pancreatic tissue were likely to have normal pancreatic exocrine function, suggesting that pancreatic insufficiency varies with the extent of necrosis.

Another study found that two of 21 patients who underwent necrosectomy for severe necrotising pancreatitis developed PEI as a late complication. A follow-up study of nine patients with infected pancreatic necrosis treated with catheter drainage and necrosectomy evaluated pancreatic exocrine function after 30 months. Mild to-moderate exocrine dysfunction was found in five patients, severe restriction of exocrine pancreatic function in two patients and normal function in one patient.

**Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy**

There are now two clinical trials investigating pancreatic enzyme supplementation in the acute phase of pancreatitis. In a double-blind randomised, placebo-controlled trial, 23 patients were randomised into treatment and control groups. Those in the treatment arm received three enzyme capsules four times per day, providing 96,000 units of lipase for each of five days. There were no significant treatment effects on severity of pancreatitis, i.e. pain scores, analgesic requirements, length of hospital stay or incidence of the complications compared.

Kahl et al studied a cohort of patients with acute pancreatitis where low levels of FE-1 were recorded in 25 of 56 cases. They randomised half of the patients to receive enzyme supplementation during the early period
of the illness. This resulted in no difference in the return of pancreatic function by Kaplan-Meier analysis and enzyme replacement therapy could not be recommended.

Based on these results, there is no evidence that the use of pancreatic enzyme supplements in its initial stages of acute pancreatitis influences the course of the disease.

Conclusions

Acute pancreatitis is an inflammatory disease associated with significant morbidity and mortality. While there is no evidence to support the use of PERT during the initial stages of acute pancreatitis, the data do support the fact that some patients have pancreatic exocrine dysfunction for a period of time after acute pancreatitis. Nine cohort studies in patients measured pancreatic function in the early recovery phase and repeated over time. They show that pancreatic exocrine function improves with time and may take up to four years in some, although most have improved by 12-18 months. The risk of PEI increased with the extent of pancreatic necrosis but some patients with a small degree of necrosis had persisting PEI while others with > 50% necrosis recovered. Five other observational studies showed reduced pancreatic function after severe pancreatitis.

However, pancreatic exocrine function seems to be impaired at least during the first six to 18 months after acute pancreatitis and longer in more severe cases. Most studies reported dysfunction based on biochemical analysis, so the incidence of clinically relevant nutritional insufficiency is not clear. It is therefore recommended that all patients recovering from acute pancreatitis should undergo a nutritional assessment and that those with continuing symptoms suggestive of ongoing malabsorption should be considered for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy. This recommendation is made despite the fact that there are no randomised studies of such patients. These patients should have their pancreatic function tested later in the recovery period whether or not they have pancreatic steatorrhoea to assess their long term nutritional and enzyme requirements.

Therefore patients should be monitored for PEI for at least 6 to 18 months and treated with oral pancreatic enzymes as indicated. Since the length of time for the recovery of exocrine function appears to depend on the severity of the episode, it may be prudent to supplement those recovering from necrotising pancreatitis with oral pancreatic enzymes and then evaluate exocrine function later in the recovery period.

Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Summary/recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>The use of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in the initial stages of acute pancreatitis is not recommended.</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Level of Evidence" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>All patients recovering from severe acute pancreatitis should undergo a nutritional assessment and those with continuing symptoms suggestive of ongoing malabsorption should be considered for PERT.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Level of Evidence" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>In convalescence from severe acute pancreatitis, pancreatic exocrine function recovers to a variable degree. Consider the use of PERT in any patient for up to two years after severe acute pancreatitis.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Level of Evidence" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Review process**

2,002 papers referring to acute pancreatitis published between 2005 and 2014 were reviewed for reference to the influence of acute pancreatitis on exocrine function or the use of pancreatic enzyme therapy. These were combined with the publications in the previous guidelines document.
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Chapter 6

Chronic pancreatitis and the use of PERT

Introduction
Chronic pancreatitis is characterised by the persistent loss of pancreatic structure and function due to various aetiologies. It is distinguished by progressive and irreversible damage to both the exocrine and endocrine components of the gland\(^1\). Its reported incidence in industrialised countries ranges from 3.5 to 10 per 100,000 people\(^2\-^3\).

Aetiology
Alcohol is considered the primary cause of chronic pancreatitis, and accounts for 60-70% of all cases\(^2\-^4\). However, its aetiology varies with geographic location. There is a body of evidence suggesting that alcoholic pancreatitis may begin as acute pancreatitis, with recurrent episodes of acute necroinflammation leading to irreversible loss of pancreatic structure and function (the “necrosis-fibrosis hypothesis”)\(^2\). The types and causes of chronic pancreatitis are listed in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1: Classification of chronic pancreatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Idiopathic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inherited factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to hyperparathyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidaemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to protein-energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In about 10-30% of chronic pancreatitis cases, no identifiable cause can be found and a diagnosis of idiopathic chronic pancreatitis is made\(^3\-^4\). However, ongoing (particularly genetic) research indicates that a significant percentage of these patients may have an identifiable cause for their condition, as described below.

Pathology
The pathology of chronic pancreatitis is characterised by fibrosis, acinar loss, eventual loss of pancreatic islets, chronic inflammation and ductular changes (distortion and intraductular protein plugs and calculi). Superimposed on these chronic changes may be evidence of acute inflammation and fat necrosis, as well as pancreatic pseudocysts.

Inherited factors in pancreatitis
A major breakthrough in our understanding of the pathogenesis of chronic pancreatitis occurred in 1996 when Whitcomb and colleagues reported a gain of function mutation in the cationic trypsinogen gene in a kindred with hereditary pancreatitis\(^5\). Since then, some other mutations in the trypsinogen gene have been described.
Additionally, a mutation in the serine protease inhibitor (SPINK 1) gene (possibly causing an increased propensity for auto-digestion) has been associated with idiopathic, tropical and autoimmune pancreatitis. This mutation is not thought to be primarily pathogenic but to have a disease modifier role. A variety of CFTR (Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Regulator) mutations has been described in patients with idiopathic pancreatitis. Affected individuals do not have classical cystic fibrosis (CF) and should not be regarded as having CF. They usually possess two copies of “mild” CFTR mutations or one “severe” copy and one “mild” copy.

Autoimmune pancreatitis

This relatively recently described and rare entity typically presents as segmental or total pancreatic enlargement causing obstructive jaundice in an elderly male. The disease can present as acute pancreatitis but this is much less common. The main differential diagnosis is pancreatic cancer. Histologically, there is diffuse periductal lymphoplasmacytic infiltration and fibrosis of the pancreas. Serum IgG4 levels are raised in 70-80% of cases. Other organs and tissues can be affected with lymphoplasmacytic infiltration and fibrosis including salivary glands, bile ducts, kidney and retroperitoneum.

A second type of autoimmune pancreatitis has been described with a distinct histology and less involvement of other organs, although inflammatory bowel disease can be associated. In this type, serum IgG4 levels are not elevated.

Symptoms

The three cardinal clinical features of chronic pancreatitis are pain, maldigestion and diabetes. Abdominal pain is usually, but not always, the initial manifestation of chronic pancreatitis, typically presenting as acute discrete episodes initially and then becoming more chronic with time. Typically, the pain is epigastric or periumbilical in location and may radiate to the back and into the chest or flanks. Although recurrent or continuous pain is considered an important symptom of chronic pancreatitis, a subgroup of patients may have no pain at all, presenting instead with other symptoms including steatorrhoea (maldigestion).

Maldigestion is a relatively late manifestation of chronic pancreatitis. It does not become clinically evident until digestive enzyme output is reduced to less than 10% of normal secretion. In general, maldigestion of fat occurs earlier than that of carbohydrate or proteins because secretion of lipase decreases more rapidly than that of amylase or proteases.

As such, steatorrhoea is the predominant manifestation of maldigestion, with overt cases occurring in about 30% of patients with chronic pancreatitis. Steatorrhoea may be associated with weight loss, with deficiencies of fat soluble vitamins (A, D, E and K) and osteoporosis.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis relies on relevant symptoms, imaging of pancreatic structure and assessment of pancreatic function. In general, advanced stages of chronic pancreatitis may be easily diagnosed by imaging procedures whereas diagnosis of early disease presents a considerable challenge.

Ideally, histology is the gold standard for the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis, but it is difficult to justify biopsies to obtain pancreatic tissue in clinical practice.

Diagnosis based on imaging

The next best diagnostic methods to demonstrate changes consistent with chronic pancreatitis are computed axial tomography (CT), magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), endoscopic retrograde
cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS).

Twenty to thirty years ago, ERCP was the definitive method for delineating pancreatic anatomy (particularly ductal anatomy) but it is an invasive test carrying a risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis. Times have changed with advances in CT and magnetic resonance technology. The modern helical CT scan now is the preferred method for imaging the size and shape of the pancreas, fluid collections, pseudocysts, pancreatic calcification and perhaps some ductal anatomy. MRCP is the preferred non-invasive method for delineating pancreatic ductal structure. ERCP is now reserved for those pancreatic imaging procedures where intervention such as stenting may be required.

EUS is the emerging entity for pancreatic imaging. It has the potential for biopsy and the assessment of stiffness (elastography). However it is an invasive test susceptible to inter-observer variability, particularly in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis.

**Diagnosis based on pancreatic function**

Traditionally, the gold standard for the assessment of pancreatic function was a tube test whereby a tube was placed via the nose under fluoroscopic control so that its perforations lay in the second part of the duodenum. Pancreatic secretagogues (secretin, cholecystokinin) were administered intravenously and pancreatic secretions (enzymes, fluid, bicarbonate) were collected and measured. Obviously, this was/is a time- and resource-consuming test, dependent on the worldwide availability of secretagogues. As such, it is essentially confined to a few centres worldwide and none in Australia, and is no longer used routinely.

Use of the tube test has been modified recently to collect pancreatic juice at the time of endoscopy. The performance of this endoscopic pancreatic function test (ePFT) correlates well with the direct tube test. The ePFT has a high negative predictive value, whereby a negative result indicates a high unlikelihood of a patient having or developing chronic pancreatitis.

Given the cumbersome nature of direct assessment of pancreatic function, a number of indirect tests have been devised. The pancreolauryl and bentiromide tests rely on the use of pancreatic substrates and the measurement of metabolites in urine and/or serum. They are no longer in use. A number of centres have used ingested radiolabelled triglycerides with measurement of CO$_2$ in breath; this test needs to be validated further in order to be applicable worldwide.

Of increasing vogue are the measurements of pancreatic enzymes chymotrypsin and elastase [faecal elastase 1 (FE-1)] in faeces. FE-1 has been proven to be superior but the test’s value is limited by false positive results.

A recent comprehensive review of the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis has been published.$^{12}$

The biochemical, structural and functional parameters used to assist in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis are outlined in Table 6.2.
Table 6.2: Patient assessment for chronic pancreatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical biopsy</td>
<td>The diagnostic gold standard of early stage disease, but rarely advisable in view of the risk of pancreatic damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum levels of lipase or amylase</td>
<td>Used to identify an acute episode of the disease in patients with pain. Levels may be low in pancreatic insufficiency and may not be raised with acute flares of the disease. Cannot be used in isolation to diagnose chronic pancreatitis due to low sensitivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal x-ray</td>
<td>Calcification on abdominal x-ray and associated steatorrhoea makes chronic pancreatitis likely.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transabdominal ultrasound</td>
<td>First procedure usually performed in patients with suspected chronic pancreatitis. Operator-dependent. More sensitive than abdominal x-ray for calcification. Clarity of images often confounded by bowel gas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal computed tomography</td>
<td>Widely used. Useful for outlining pancreatic and surrounding anatomy. Sensitive in the detection of pancreatic calcification. Can detect ductular abnormalities although ERCP and MRCP are more sensitive. Useful for detecting/excluding pancreatic neoplasms and cystic lesions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)</td>
<td>Used for identification of ductular structural abnormalities. Most common imaging modality for planning intervention (endoscopic or surgical).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP)</td>
<td>Is superseding ERCP as a non-invasive alternative. Useful in patients at high risk of developing post-ERCP pancreatitis or where the pancreatic duct is inaccessible as a result of surgery. Can outline ductal and parenchymal changes. Can be combined with secretagogues to provide functional as well as structural information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)</td>
<td>Possibly most sensitive procedure to detect chronic pancreatitis although analysis of accuracy is ongoing. Unclear diagnostic role in early stage disease. Needle biopsy under EUS control may distinguish CP from pancreatic cancer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients with painful established chronic pancreatitis should undergo an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, abdominal CT scan and ERCP/MRCP in order to detect a potentially reversible cause of pain, such as peptic ulcer, pseudocyst or common bile duct stricture.

Stages of chronic pancreatitis

Chronic pancreatitis may be separated into four different stages based on disease presentation (Table 6.3). It is important to note that patients may not experience every stage of illness.
Table 6.3: Stages of chronic pancreatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A pre-clinical stage with absent or uncharacteristic symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Recurrent acute episodes of pancreatitis without definite signs of chronic pancreatitis on imaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Further recurrent episodes with intermittent or constant pain in between and signs of chronic pancreatitis on imaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Final stage mostly without acute flares and absence or decreased frequency of pain, possibly associated with evidence of endocrine and exocrine insufficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Associated morbidity and mortality

Up to 70% of patients with calcific pancreatitis develop diabetes. Diabetes develops late in the disease course of chronic pancreatitis and therefore denotes advanced disease. There are exceptions to this dictum in patients with Type 2 diabetes associated with the metabolic syndrome.

Chronic pancreatitis patients have an increased incidence of pancreatic cancer. The risk of developing pancreatic cancer is 16.5-fold higher in chronic pancreatitis patients than age-matched healthy controls. Those with hereditary pancreatitis have an even higher risk of pancreatic cancer. The estimated cumulative risk of pancreatic cancer to age 70 years in patients with hereditary pancreatitis is as high as 40%. There is also a five-fold increase in relative risk of developing pancreatic cancer in those with tropical pancreatitis.

Mortality in chronic pancreatitis, particularly alcoholic pancreatitis, is reported to be approximately 30% higher than that in the age- and sex-matched general population. One fifth of this excess mortality can be directly attributed to pancreatitis itself. Most of the excess mortality is secondary to the effects of alcohol and/or smoking on the liver, the respiratory and digestive systems.

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) due to chronic pancreatitis is a consequence of various factors which regulate digestion and absorption of nutrients. Although pancreatic function has been extensively studied, some aspects of secretion and gastrointestinal adaptation are not well understood. However, it is known that a progressive loss of pancreatic acinar cell function in chronic pancreatitis does lead to deficiencies in the secretion of digestive enzymes from the pancreas. This results in maldigestion of nutrients.

PEI after disease onset depends on the type of pancreatitis. PEI develops earlier in alcoholic, tropical and late-onset idiopathic pancreatitis than early-onset idiopathic pancreatitis. Those with alcoholic pancreatitis generally develop PEI within 5-6 years of disease onset. Pancreatic exocrine and endocrine insufficiency is usually present at the time of presentation in 70% of patients with tropical pancreatitis.

The main clinical manifestations of PEI are fat malabsorption (steatorrhoea), weight loss, abdominal discomfort and distention.

Overt steatorrhoea occurs in about one-third of patients with chronic pancreatitis. Fat malabsorption also results in a deficit of fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E and K) with consequent clinical manifestations.

Evidence clearly shows that malabsorption does occur in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Further, natural history studies indicate that the clinical diagnoses of steatorrhoea and exocrine insufficiency are usually not...
Management of PEI in chronic pancreatitis

The general principles for the management of chronic pancreatitis are mainly to control symptoms, improve nutrition and treat complications\(^1\). These principles should be kept in mind when managing associated PEI.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT)

Although treatment of PEI with PERT is logical and is recommended, the evidence in the literature for the efficacy of PERT is not great. Shafiq et al\(^{19}\) performed a Cochrane review/meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of the use of PERT in chronic pancreatitis. Ten trials involving 361 participants satisfied the inclusion criteria. Although some individual studies reported a beneficial effect of pancreatic enzyme over placebo in improving pain, incidence of steatorrhoea and analgesic consumption, the authors concluded that the role of pancreatic enzymes for abdominal pain, weight loss, steatorrhoea, analgesic use and quality of life in patients with chronic pancreatitis remains equivocal and that good quality adequately powered (non-pharmaceutical) studies are needed. Since that review two multicentre double blind controlled trials have been published, one from North America\(^{20}\) and another from India\(^{21}\). These study groups had different demographics but they carefully determined the degree of pancreatic insufficiency before the randomisation by the use of measurement of the coefficient of fat absorption (CFA). They both demonstrated improvement in CFA but while flatulence and stool consistency improved with treatment pain was not improved.

PERT in painful chronic pancreatitis

The mechanisms for pain in chronic pancreatitis are poorly understood. They may include duct obstruction, tissue interstitial hypertension, pancreatic neuritis, pseudocyst formation, biliary obstruction and the presence of steatorrhoea with abdominal cramping.

Although the evidence is complex and species-dependent, it appears that exocrine pancreatic secretion is subject to negative feedback regulation. A number of CCK-releasing factors have been identified in the intestine and as part of pancreatic secretion (such as monitor peptide). These releasing factors can be degraded by proteases thus inhibiting CCK release and pancreatic secretion and theoretically ameliorating the pain of chronic pancreatitis\(^{22}\).

The discovery of this negative feedback loop led to trials of pancreatic proteases in the treatment of pain in chronic pancreatitis; unfortunately, a meta-analysis of these trials has failed to demonstrate benefit\(^3\).

Since then, two prospective, multicentre, follow-up studies of patients with chronic pancreatitis have been conducted to assess quality of life before and after PERT\(^{24,25}\). PERT therapy reduced the extent of steatorrhoea and pain and was associated with a significant improvement in quality of life.

The role of PERT in reducing pain in chronic pancreatitis remains unclear\(^{26}\). The American Gastroenterological Association recommends a trial of high-dose pancreatic enzymes coupled with acid suppression therapy before proceeding with continuous use of narcotics or invasive treatment\(^{27}\).

Dosage and administration

The relationship between the dose of pancreatic enzymes required and symptoms of maldigestion is not linear. For efficient digestion, it is essential that the concentration of enzymes delivered exogenously to the gut represents at least 5% of normal digestive enzyme output\(^1\). In general, the dose of lipase required with each meal is of the order of 25,000 to 50,000 units\(^{28}\). Dosing should be with, or immediately following each meal\(^{29}\).

In case of an inadequate response to therapy, compliance could be checked by measurement of faecal chymotrypsin, although this is not a standardised (nor generally practised) procedure\(^{30}\). In the compliant patient, it has been recommended that doses may be doubled or tripled, but other reasons for malabsorption
should be considered first.

Unprotected digestive enzymes are rapidly destroyed by gastric acid. Acid degradation is a major factor influencing the bioavailability of PERT. Several commercial pancreatic enzyme preparations are available as enteric-coated tablets, capsules or microspheres. The use of concurrent acid suppression therapy may be a useful adjunct therapy and is recommended, especially if severe steatorrhoea continues with adequate dosing of pancreatic enzyme.

The use of high-strength preparations can reduce pill burden. High doses of PERT have been associated with the development of fibrosing colonopathy and colonic strictures in patients with cystic fibrosis. There is evidence to suggest that these adverse effects were due to the presence of the methacrylic acid copolymer in the enteric coating rather than the dose of lipase.

If compliant patients remain unresponsive to therapy, the diagnosis of PEI needs to be reviewed. Coeliac disease, (concomitant) bacterial overgrowth, and blind loop syndrome, as well as giardiasis, need to be excluded or otherwise be treated specifically.

An algorithm for use of PERT in patients with PEI associated with chronic pancreatitis is proposed in Fig. 6.1.

**Figure 6.1: Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme therapy in patients with pancreatic exocrine insufficiency due to chronic pancreatitis**

*Adapted from Dominguez-Muñoz, 2007.*
Summary

- One of the most common causes of PEI is chronic pancreatitis. Alcohol is considered the primary cause. The progressive loss of pancreatic parenchyma leads to impaired exocrine function. Malabsorption occurs in the majority of patients with chronic pancreatitis. However, the clinical diagnoses of steatorrhoea and exocrine insufficiency are usually not evident until relatively late in the course of the disease.

- It must be remembered that the presence of steatorrhoea, either proven or implied, is the foundation for initiating pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT). A decrease in pancreatic enzyme secretion detected by a sensitive direct measurement of pancreatic secretion does not by itself mandate the initiation of PERT. Steatorrhoea must be present. If the presence of steatorrhoea cannot be established, for whatever reason, by direct measurement of faecal fat, its presence may be inferred by the clinical diagnosis, imaging and patient characteristics, including suggestive changes in stool habit, weight loss, measured deficiencies in fat-soluble vitamins and osteoporosis.

- The efficacy of PERT may be influenced by a number of factors including type of preparation, enzyme concentration, dosage schedule and the use of adjuvant therapy to improve bioavailability of enzymes. For the treatment of PEI, 25,000 to 50,000 units of lipase are required with each meal. PERT should be taken preferably throughout or immediately following meals.

- In cases of an inadequate response to therapy, doses could be increased two- to three-fold. If severe steatorrhoea continues with adequate dosing of pancreatic enzyme, adjunctive acid suppression therapy is recommended. If patients remain unresponsive to therapy, other possible causes (e.g. bacterial overgrowth) should be considered.

- General dietary and nutritional considerations for patients with PEI due to other causes can be applicable to patients with chronic pancreatitis.

- Abstinence from alcohol cannot be overemphasised for patients with chronic pancreatitis.

- Dietary counselling, coupled with PERT, in patients with chronic pancreatitis not only reduced the extent of steatorrhoea and pain, but also significantly improved patients’ quality of life.

Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in chronic pancreatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>PERT can improve the symptoms of PEI in patients with chronic pancreatitis. 24,25</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>PERT can improve quality of life in patients with chronic pancreatitis. 24,25</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>The required amount of lipase with each meal is generally 25,000 to 50,000 units of lipase BP. 37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>For severe, persisting steatorrhoea, consider the use of adjunct acid suppressant therapy. 27</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Patients with chronic pancreatitis should abstain from alcohol. 3</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 7

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency in cystic fibrosis

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the most common autosomal recessive inherited disease in the Western world, with a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations due to a primary defect of faulty chloride and bicarbonate secretion in epithelial cells in many parts of the body. It affects 1 in 2,500-3,000 Caucasian live births. The incidence of CF is less common in other ethnic groups.

The most important manifestations in children and adults include progressive damage to the respiratory, reproductive and digestive system including the pancreas, liver and hollow organs leading to a premature death. Before 1970 the median age of survival was just 8 years but it is now over 40 years due to development in treatment.

Genotype and phenotype

Since the discovery of the gene responsible for CF on the long arm of chromosome 7, over 1,900 mutant alleles have been identified. Only a small number are proven to cause CF with 24 mutant alleles being responsible for 84% of CF cases. The most common mutation is the deletion of a phenylalanine residue in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) protein. This mutation results in a severe reduction in CFTR function. The CFTR gene plays a role in chloride ion transportation. The flow of chloride ions regulates water intracellularly, thereby ensuring the production of thin, freely-flowing mucus. Mutations in the CFTR gene disrupt the function of chloride channels at the apical surface of epithelial cells. In addition, there is dysregulation of other transporters (e.g. chloride-coupled bicarbonate transport and sodium channel activity). As a result, thick, high salt fluids are present in the extracellular space of many major organs (e.g. lung, pancreas). Clinically, this mutation leads to the classic CF phenotype of raised sweat chloride, recurrent respiratory infection with bronchiectasis and early-onset pancreatic insufficiency.

Figure 7.1: Schematic representation of CFTR structure

Reproduced from www.cfgenetherapy.org.uk.
The clinical manifestations of CF can vary greatly between affected individuals, and largely depend on the amount of normal CFTR function. A classification system based on the functional effect that genotype has on production of CFTR could indicate a biological mechanism by which genotype could affect mortality (Table 7.1). Patients with CFTR genotypes associated with severely reduced CFTR production (Class I to III) have a very similar severe phenotype and are associated with higher mortality rates than patients with a CFTR genotype associated with some residual CFTR function (Class IV and V).

### Table 7.1: Classification system of CFTR mutations based on their functional effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk stratification</th>
<th>Class of mutation</th>
<th>Functional effect of mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High risk of CFTR genotype</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Defective protein production with premature termination of CFTR protein production. Produces few or no functioning CFTR chloride channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Defective trafficking of CFTR protein so that it does not reach the apical surface membrane where it is intended to function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Defective regulation of CFTR protein even though it is able to reach the apical cell surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk of CFTR genotype</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>CFTR protein reaches the apical surface but conduction through the channel is defective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Associated with reduced synthesis of functional CFTR protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from McKone et al. 2003².

### Diagnosis and screening

About 80-100 new diagnoses of CF are made annually in Australasia. In Australia and New Zealand, all newborn babies are screened for CF using the immunoreactive trypsinogen test (IRT). A blood sample is taken three days after birth and analysed for a specific protein called trypsinogen. If this is positive (showing raised serum trypsinogen), reflex genetic testing of the CFTR gene is done (the type of CFTR mutations tested varies with different newborn screening programs). In the presence of 1 or 2 CFTR mutations, a diagnostic sweat test is recommended to measure the sweat chloride concentration. About 95% of babies with CF are identified by newborn screening.

While newborn screening for CF is universal in Australia and New Zealand, this has not been the case in other parts of the world. In unscreened populations, diagnosis of CF is based on a clinical phenotype (or first-degree family history) accompanied by elevated sweat chloride and/or identification of two CF-causing mutations.

### Prognosis

There are over 3,000 people living with CF in Australia. Of these, two-thirds are children and adolescents. The long-term survival for children with CF has improved significantly in recent years, with a predicted mean life expectancy of about 40 years in many parts of the world including Australia. The factors contributing to improved survival include improved management of respiratory infections and in nutritional status.

### Pancreatic function in CF patients

The CFTR gene is expressed in many tissues including the pancreas. The pancreas is vulnerable to luminal concentration defects due to the high protein content in the ducts. The viscous secretions can cause luminal obstruction of ducts leading to acinar cell destruction, fibrosis and pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI). Ductal obstruction is also associated with the development of symptomatic acute pancreatitis in a small subset of patients with CF.
Pancreatic function in CF patients is classified into two distinct phenotypes:

1. Pancreatic sufficient (PS): patients have adequate endogenous pancreatic exocrine function to provide normal absorption
2. Pancreatic insufficient (PI): patients require exogenous pancreatic exocrine enzymes to maintain adequate absorption of nutrients.

Most CF patients are pancreatic insufficient either at the time of diagnosis or as the disease progresses. Only 5-15% of affected individuals retain some level of pancreatic function \(^{16}\).

There is a clear correlation between genotype and pancreatic function \(^{14}\). Most patients with CF who carry Class I-III mutations on both alleles have a PI phenotype. Patients who carry a mild mutation (Class IV-V) on at least one allele, which confers some residual ion channel function, usually are PS. Thus, mutations that confer the PS phenotype do so in a dominant fashion \(^{16}\). A study of 78 CF patients identified in a newborn screening program showed that 37% were PS at the time of diagnosis. These children had growth that was close to normal and comparable to growth in children with PEI who received oral enzyme therapy. PEI subsequently developed in one in five of these patients at 3 to 36 months of age \(^{17}\).

These data indicate that a proportion of PS infants can lose their residual pancreatic function over time, spontaneously. The development of symptomatic acute pancreatitis in PS CF patients is also a risk factor for the progression to PI status \(^{16}\). CF patients identified soon after birth should be continually monitored. Growth measurements and indirect tests for pancreatic exocrine function should be routinely conducted, with the latter particularly for those patients with PS CF.

**Consequences of PEI in CF**

Although chronic pulmonary disease is the major cause of mortality in CF, significant morbidity is attributed to gastrointestinal dysfunction, particularly PEI. PEI results in the inability to properly digest food due to the lack of pancreatic digestive enzymes. Maldigestion, and hence malabsorption of nutrients and poor nutrition, due to PEI occurs in approximately 85% of CF patients \(^{18-20}\). Maldigestion in CF affects energy and protein availability. Without treatment, gastrointestinal losses of fat and nitrogen are severe. This causes energy and protein deficits leading to growth failure and protein catabolism \(^{20}\). Fat malabsorption is the most important digestive malfunction in PEI. This is because fat is the most energy-dense macronutrient and fat-soluble vitamins require normal or near normal processes of fat digestion for their absorption.

Prolonged, untreated PEI is associated with a poorer prognosis long term. A study of 72 CF patients with normal fat absorption found that pancreatic sufficient patients generally have significantly better lung function, milder clinical symptoms, lower sweat chloride and fewer gut and liver complications than those with symptoms of PEI \(^{21}\).

**Benefits of good nutritional management**

Evidence in the literature demonstrates the importance of improving the nutritional status of CF patients. This was highlighted in a comparison of nutritional management policies in Canada and the United States \(^{22,23}\). Corey et al. conducted a study involving over 1,000 patients from two CF clinic populations in Boston and Toronto. Demographic data were not significantly different between the two populations. The Toronto clinic had abandoned the traditional low fat, high energy diet in favour of more liberal use of both fat and pancreatic enzyme replacement. Data showed that patients in Toronto tended to be taller, heavier and had a higher median age than those at the Boston clinic. Although progressive pulmonary disease is the major cause of mortality in cystic fibrosis, the differences in growth and survival in these two patient groups, with very similar age-specific pulmonary function, suggest the potential benefits of aggressive nutritional management with a high energy, high-fat diet and pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) \(^{22}\). Following the dissemination of the results of this study, CF clinics in the United States adopted the Canadian approach to nutritional management in CF patients. The effects of this intervention were evaluated about 10 years later \(^{23}\).
In the subsequent trial the growth status of the CF populations in the United States and Canada were evaluated. This study involved analyses of CF Patient Registries of both countries. Results show that children with CF in Canada had significantly higher mean height and weight than those in the United States. Although mean height was similar for adults with CF in both populations, weight and percentage of ideal weight was significantly better for Canadian adult CF patients than their American counterparts. Nevertheless, the authors observed substantially smaller differences in the growth indices of CF patients between the United States and Canada compared with results from the 1980s.

These findings demonstrated significant improvements in growth and survival of CF patients following the adoption of good nutritional management strategies. Growth failure and chronic malnutrition, once considered acceptable and inevitable consequences of CF, should now be regarded as preventable with appropriate nutritional management.

Diagnosis of PEI in CF

The specific details of different diagnostic tests for PEI are discussed in Chapter 2 of these guidelines. This section focuses on tests used clinically to diagnose PEI in CF patients.

Exocrine pancreatic function is notoriously difficult to assess. Practically, the pancreas (and its secretions) is relatively inaccessible and direct assessment requires duodenal intubation to collect pancreatic secretions. There are three categories of exocrine pancreatic function tests:

1. Direct tests – assess the secretory capacity of the exocrine pancreas
2. Indirect tests – detect abnormalities secondary to loss of pancreatic function
3. Blood tests – rely on the small but significant amounts of enzymes and enteroendocrine hormones synthesised by the pancreas in systemic circulation.

Direct tests are the most sensitive and specific measurements of exocrine pancreatic function. However, these tests are invasive and expensive. Indirect and blood tests are most frequently used because these tend to be inexpensive and easy to administer. However, they are less sensitive and not as specific as direct tests. Ideally, a 3-5 day faecal fat balance study (an indirect test) should be used because there is evidence of a good correlation with pancreatic stimulation tests (direct tests).

The 3-5 day faecal fat balance study involves meticulous weighing of food and careful dietary records to calculate mean daily fat intake. Stools collected over 72-120 hours are pooled and refrigerated. Steatorrhoea is considered to be present if more than 7% of ingested fat is excreted. As infants below 6 months of age have immature pancreatic and biliary secretions, test results are only considered abnormal if these infants excrete in excess of 15% of ingested fat. Faecal fat balance studies should ideally be conducted at diagnosis. In children consuming medium chain triglyceride (MCT)-rich formula, the faecal fat measure will be inaccurate and the Jeejeebhoy modification should be used by the laboratory. Due to the odious nature of faecal fat balance studies for both patients and laboratory staff, it has fallen into disfavour with some clinicians.

Faecal elastase-1 (FE-1) and microscopic assessments are practical alternatives to faecal fat balance studies. Faecal elastase-1 is highly sensitive for severe PEI as is seen in CF, especially if levels are <100. It is a simple test which could be used to predict response to pancreatic enzyme supplementation in patients with chronic, unexplained diarrhoea with a clinical suspicion of PEI. Microscopic examination of stools may reveal meat fibres, neutral fat droplets or free fatty acid crystals, suggesting partial fat hydrolysis. Steatorrhoea can also be quantified by counting the number and size of fat globules.

The recommended frequency of monitoring is based on the natural history of the disease in the screened population. Weight and height should be measured every 2-3 months in children and more frequently in infants. Every 4-6 months, an indirect test for PEI should be performed. If CF patients do not appear to be gaining adequate weight or develop symptoms consistent with PEI (e.g. steatorrhoea), the frequency of these tests should be increased and other conditions considered (Table 7.2).
Table 7.2: Suggested minimum frequencies for recording anthropometric measurements in CF patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Infants (0-2 yrs.)</th>
<th>Children (2-18 yrs.)</th>
<th>Adults (&gt;18 yrs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height (supine/length)</td>
<td>1-2 weekly until thriving, then monthly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (standing)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 monthly</td>
<td>Annually†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>1-2 weekly until thriving, then monthly</td>
<td>Every clinic visit*</td>
<td>Every clinic visit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>1-2 weekly until thriving, then monthly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot on appropriate growth chart</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% IBW</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot on BMI centile chart</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Stapleton et al. 2006¹.

* Fortnightly if clinic visits are more frequent than this.

† If growth has ceased; otherwise 3 monthly until cessation of growth is demonstrated (consider that growth may continue up to 20 years in males with CF).

% IBW: percentage ideal body weight, BMI: body mass index.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in CF

CF patients with gastrointestinal problems related to inadequately controlled intestinal absorption secondary to PEI may experience symptoms including distal intestinal obstruction syndrome and constipation and rectal prolapse. If the intestinal malabsorption is well controlled with an effective pancreatic enzyme preparation, distal intestinal obstruction syndrome, constipation and rectal prolapse are less frequently observed²⁸. PERT is indicated in those with documented fat malabsorption. The objectives of PERT replacement are to:

- Correct macro- and micronutrient maldigestion
- Eliminate abdominal symptoms directly attributable to maldigestion
- Establish normal stools and bowel habits
- Sustain normal growth and nutritional status²⁹

Evidence-based practice recommendations for nutritional-related management of CF patients with PEI advocate the use of non-generic (i.e. branded) proprietary pancreatic enzyme preparations to ensure efficacy in the treatment of CF-related PEI³⁰.

Dosing

The severity of PEI can vary enormously from patient to patient. Therefore, PERT doses should be individualised²⁹. There are two primary approaches to PERT dosing and in neither case are there any dose-response data:

1. Based on bodyweight
2. According to fat intake.

Patients should be commenced on the minimum dose. Doses can then be titrated based on weight gain and bowel signs to ascertain the lowest effective dose²⁹. Signs and symptoms of malabsorption such as pain,
excessive gas, frequency and stool consistency are not indications for dose adjustments. PEI is not the main cause of abdominal pain and increasing the dose of PERT does not alleviate these symptoms. Similarly, increasing doses of PERT does not improve patients’ subjective sense of “gassiness”. Therefore, this is also not an appropriate marker for dosing. Number of stools per day is the same between pancreatic sufficient and insufficient patients and PERT dosing does not affect frequency of stools. Constipation is a common complaint of PEI patients. In one study, no correlation was observed between constipation and PERT dose, suggesting that constipation cannot be used as a marker for inappropriate PERT dosing. The commonly held belief that constipation is a consequence of high enzyme doses is also not supported by evidence.

PERT dosing for infants, based on fat intake, is 500-1,000 units lipase per gram of dietary fat. Alternatively, infants may be given 2,000-4,000 units lipase per breastfeed or 120 mL of infant formula. The infant’s mouth should be swept after administration of PERT to prevent ulceration in the alkaline salivary environment.

In children, 500-4,000 units lipase per gram of dietary fat may be given. For dosing based on bodyweight, those under the age of 4 years may be given 1,000 units lipase per kilogram bodyweight per meal, whereas those older than 4 years may be given 500 units lipase per kilogram per meal. If patients are having a snack rather than a full meal, these doses could be halved. In addition to PERT, prophylactic supplementation of fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E and K is recommended. Routine assessment of levels should be done annually and a monthly check should occur after a change in dose.

In the early days, PERT was thought to be free of major complications and side effects. Increasingly, there is recognition of fibrosing colonopathy and its association with very high doses of PERT. The maximum dose recommendation is 10,000 units of lipase per kilogram per day.

Administration of PERT - issues

Pancrelipase capsules should not be crushed or chewed. Patients who are unable to swallow capsules may be prescribed enteric coated microspheres. These could be sprinkled on soft food and acidic foods that do not require chewing (e.g. pureed fruits). They should not be sprinkled on foods with a pH above 7.3 (such as milk, custard or ice cream) as the protective enteric coating can dissolve at high pH.

Enteral feeding is indicated if ongoing comprehensive assessments of CF patients indicate that nutritional status is deteriorating or failing to improve. The appropriate dosing regimen of PERT with enteral tube feeding has yet to be determined. Possible options for PERT dose calculations are suggested in Table 7.3. Enzyme microspheres should not be crushed prior to delivery via the feeding tube.

Table 7.3: Proposed PERT dosing regimens for CF patients using enteral tube feeding.
Adapted from Stapleton et al. 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan A</th>
<th>Plan B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td>Estimate the amount of fat in the total volume of feed to be delivered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td>Divide the total amount of fat by the individual’s recommended PERT dose to determine the number of capsules required for the whole feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3 Possible dosing options:</strong></td>
<td>Single: take one dose, as determined in step 2, prior to commencing the feed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double: take 50% of the dose determined in step 2 prior to commencing the feed and before sleep, if more than one hour has lapsed, or whenever voluntarily awake through the night.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple: if feeding for longer than six hours, take additional 50% of doses if voluntarily awake at any time after this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single: take one dose, as determined in step 2, prior to going to sleep, if more than one hour has lapsed, or whenever voluntarily awake through the night.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double: as per the single option plus take the same dose again prior to going to sleep, if more than one hour has lapsed, or whenever voluntarily awake through the night.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple: if feeding for longer than six hours, take additional doses as determined in step 2, if voluntarily awake at any time after this.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adjunct therapy

PERT improves nutritional status and decreases maldigestion due to PEI in patients with CF. In spite of adequate PERT doses, many patients with CF continue to experience gastrointestinal symptoms of PEI (in particular steatorrhoea). This contributes to malnutrition and weight loss. Orally administered PERT can be inactivated by gastric acid. Studies have suggested that drug therapy which reduces gastric acid, including H2-receptor antagonists and proton pump inhibitors, may improve the effectiveness of PERT. A recent Cochrane review found little evidence that the use of adjunct agents which reduce gastric acidity in CF patients can improve fat absorption and gastrointestinal symptoms. Of the assessed acid-suppressing agents, proton pump inhibitors seemed to have a promising role as an adjunct to PERT therapy for CF patients. However, further research is required to ascertain the duration of treatment and the risks of developing reduced bone mineral density, pneumonia, gastroenteritis and bacterial overgrowth associated with their use. At present, there appears to be insufficient evidence to indicate whether these adjunct agents can improve nutritional status, lung function, quality of life or survival in patients with CF. Considering the potential role of adjunct therapy, an approach to address the lack of clinical response to PERT is proposed in Figure 7.2.

Figure 7.2: Proposed approach to lack of clinical response to PERT
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              - Coeliac serology
              - Breath test or empiric antibiotic therapy for bacterial overgrowth
              - Liver disease – cirrhosis and portal hypertension
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              - Reinforce compliance

Long-term management and monitoring for PEI

Periodic nutritional assessments should include a collation of anthropometric measures (height, weight and head circumference), dietary intake, biochemical assessments and a review of bowel habit and function. Deterioration in parameters of nutritional status should be detected early, before growth and lung function are compromised. Anthropometric measurements should be regularly assessed (Table 2). It is important to note that adults may lose height over time due to ageing, osteoporosis or kyphosis. A thorough assessment of dietary intake should be conducted at least once a year. In very young children, dietary intake assessments should be more frequently assessed. Table 4 highlights the key areas of dietary assessment in CF patients.

Table 4: Key areas of dietary intake assessment in CF patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key areas of assessment</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake</td>
<td>Energy balance and effect on weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat intake</td>
<td>Energy density, PERT adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food preferences and variety</td>
<td>Adequacy of micronutrient intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Meal pattern and behaviours</td>
<td>Fibre intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Knowledge and attitudes about nutrition including body image</td>
<td>Target areas for change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERT: dosage, adherence and gastrointestinal symptoms</td>
<td>Adequacy of PERT regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplements: vitamins and minerals, oral and enteral nutritional supplements</td>
<td>Adequacy of micronutrient intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium and fluid intake</td>
<td>Contribution to energy and nutrient intakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition-related complementary or alternative therapies</td>
<td>Hydration and sodium status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequacy of micro and macronutrient intake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Stapleton et al. 2006.

Biochemical tests provide important information regarding the nutritional status of those with CF. All patients should be assessed at diagnosis and subsequently at annual review. If there is a risk of deterioration in nutritional status, or if there is a change in treatment, then the frequency of biochemical assessments should be increased.

Other nutritional assessment in CF patients should also include a review of bowel habits and function. Information regarding relevant lifestyle factors including exercise and physical activity should also be collected. The Australasian Clinical Practice Guidelines for Nutrition in Cystic Fibrosis discusses these management and monitoring strategies in detail.

Summary

- CF is a common lethal genetic disorder caused by mutations in the gene that encodes the CFTR protein. CFTR mutations disrupt the function of water and chloride ion transportation at a cellular level, leading to classic CF phenotypes such as raised sweat chloride, recurrent respiratory infection with bronchiectasis and early-onset pancreatic insufficiency.
- The majority of babies with CF are diagnosed by 4-6 weeks after birth through newborn screening.
- The long-term survival for children with CF has improved significantly. With early diagnosis, appropriate management of respiratory infections and improved nutrition, most CF patients live well into adulthood.
- About 85% of CF patients are pancreatic-insufficient by early childhood. There is evidence that a proportion of infants found to be pancreatic-sufficient at birth will lose their residual pancreatic function over time.
- Without treatment, gastrointestinal losses of fat and nitrogen can be severe. These cause growth failure and protein catabolism.
Fat malabsorption, the most important digestive malfunction in PEI, affects macro- and micronutrient absorption. Prolonged, untreated PEI is associated with a poorer prognosis long term. This highlights the importance of aggressive nutritional management focusing on a high energy, high fat diet and PERT. Good nutritional management of CF patients can prevent growth failure and chronic malnutrition.

PERT is indicated in those with documented fat malabsorption or PEI as established by a pancreatic function test.

PERT dosing should be individualised. CF patients with PEI should be started on the lowest recommended dose and up-titrated based on weight gain and gastrointestinal symptoms to ascertain the lowest effective dose.

Excessive doses of PERT are associated with fibrosing colonopathy. Therefore doses should not exceed 10,000 units of lipase per kilogram bodyweight per day or 2,500 units per kilogram bodyweight per meal.

The role of acid-suppressing agents in improving fat absorption and gastrointestinal symptoms of CF patients is still under debate. Proton pump inhibitors appear to have a promising role as an adjunct to PERT therapy for CF patients. However, further research is required to ascertain the duration of treatment and the risks of pneumonia, gastroenteritis and bacterial overgrowth associated with their use. In addition to this there is the development of new agents such as Liprotamase, a novel non-porcine PERT which contains a proprietary biotechnology-derived formulation allowing purity, precise dosing and acid resistance which could result in a more scientific approach to dose response and negate the need for adjunct therapy.

It should be noted that the principles of PERT that have been discussed in this chapter can be applied to other paediatric conditions involving PEI, such as Shwachman–Diamond and Pearson syndromes.

### Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in cystic fibrosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.1</strong></td>
<td>CF patients identified at birth should be continually monitored for PEI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength agreement level 4" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.2</strong></td>
<td>Aggressive nutritional management with a high-energy, high fat diet and PERT is recommended for CF patients with documented fat malabsorption or PEI found on pancreatic function testing.</td>
<td>1b, 2b</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength agreement level 1b" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.3</strong></td>
<td>PERT doses should be individualised based on bodyweight or fat intake, and titrated based on weight gain and bowel signs and symptoms.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength agreement level 5" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **7.4** | Recommended doses  
  **For infants:** 500-1,000 U lipase / g of dietary fat OR 2,000-4,000 U lipase / breastfeed or 120 mL of infant formula.  
  **For children:** 500-4,000 U lipase / g of dietary fat OR 1,000 U lipase / kg bodyweight / meal (<4 years old); 500 U lipase / kg bodyweight / meal (>4 years old). Doses could be halved for a snack instead of a full meal.  
  **Maximum dose:** 10,000 U / kg bodyweight / day or 2,500 U / kg bodyweight / meal. | 5 | ![Strength agreement level 5](image) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Branded PERT preparations should be used to ensure efficacy.</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Capsules should not be crushed, chewed or sprinkled on foods with a high pH.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Enteral feeding is indicated if ongoing comprehensive assessments of CF patients indicate deterioration of nutritional status or failure to improve on oral PERT.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Proton Pump Inhibitors may have a role in decreasing gastric acidity and improving fat absorption and gastrointestinal symptoms in CF patients on PERT (and see Chapter 3).</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Patients with CF and PEI should be referred to a multidisciplinary clinic for management.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>Long-term management should include ongoing periodic nutritional assessments, growth measurements and monitoring for PEI with indirect tests for pancreatic exocrine function, particularly for patients with PS CF.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 8

Use of PERT after bowel resection

Introduction

The intestines are vital for the absorption of external nutrients. Food is mixed with digestive enzymes and bile in the duodenum, further mixed and broken down in the jejunum, and absorbed largely in the ileum. The colon also plays a significant role in the absorption of water, electrolytes, short-chain fatty acids, and certain fat-soluble vitamins.\(^1\)

Various disease processes may necessitate resection of variable lengths of small and large intestine, by open or laparoscopic approaches. Small bowel resections are performed to treat conditions such as Crohn’s disease, tumours, ischaemia, and trauma. Additional conditions necessitating colonic resection include neoplasia (cancer and polyposis), ulcerative colitis, and toxic megacolon. Biliopancreatic diversion for morbid obesity also functionally removes a large part of small bowel by bypassing it from the passage of nutrients.

The physiological consequences of bowel resection or bypass depend on the site and amount of absorptive bowel removed. So-called short bowel syndrome (SBS) is a malabsorptive state following massive resection of small intestine\(^2\), usually when there is less than 200 cm of bowel remaining\(^3\). Factors attenuating the impact of SBS include remnant ileum, an intact ileocaecal valve, absence of residual mucosal disease, and an intact stomach, pancreas and liver\(^4\).

It is known that numerous changes occur in the remaining alimentary tract to help adaptation to the shortened intestine after extensive small bowel resections. There is mucosal hyperplasia and an accelerated rate of epithelial cell renewal\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\). In addition there is increased proliferation of parietal cells in the gastric glands\(^8\). This is caused by a rise in gastrin in the plasma\(^9\);\(^10\). Exogenous gastrin and pentagastrin have been recognised to exert a trophic action upon the exocrine pancreas\(^11\);\(^12\) but do not affect the small bowel distal to the duodenum\(^13\). Thus hypergastrinaemia, induced by intestinal resection, may well alter the size and composition of the exocrine pancreas. The process of intestinal adaptation occurs from 6 months to 3 years after extensive bowel resection\(^1\);\(^14\).

Medical management

Although corrective surgical procedures are sometimes possible, the mainstay of treatment of symptoms following extensive bowel resection is a combination of dietary and pharmaceutical therapies. The goal of treatment is to optimise digestion and absorption of nutrient molecules, as well as to promote intestinal adaptation if possible. As part of any management protocol, regular monitoring of nutritional status is important to guide ongoing therapy.

Severe nutrient and fluid malabsorption occurs following extensive small bowel resections. Patients with less than 100 cm of residual jejunum generally have a net secretory response to food, and as such, may lose more fluid than they ingest. Bowel resections can lead to malabsorption of fluid, electrolytes, minerals and other essential nutrients, resulting in malnutrition and dehydration. Individualised and tailored nutritional management helps to optimise intestinal absorption, leading to nutritional independence such that a patient can resume as normal a lifestyle as possible. In extreme cases, total parenteral nutrition (TPN) may be necessary, with the aim of reintroducing enteral feeding as adaptation occurs. Adjustment of the various nutritional components is complex and should be individualised based on gastrointestinal anatomy, underlying disease and lifestyle\(^15\). Referral to a dietician is recommended.
Adjunctive pharmaceutical management may consist of:

- **Antimotility agents** to slow intestinal transit. These include loperamide, diphenoxylate and codeine\(^1,14\). Octreotide may also be used in cases of high output rapid transit, but because it inhibits the adaptation process, it is usually only seen as a temporising measure\(^15,16\).

- **Bile-acid resins** (e.g. cholestyramine) in cases of steatorrhoea secondary to bile acid malabsorption after ileal resection\(^1,4\).

- **Proton pump inhibitors** or H2 antagonists to suppress gastric acid hypersecretion. This serves to prevent peptic ulceration, reduce diarrhoea, and maximise activation of endogenous pancreatic enzymes\(^4,14\).

- **Antibiotics** (e.g. metronidazole, cephalosporins) and/or probiotics to suppress intestinal bacterial overgrowth\(^14,17\).

- **Recombinant human growth hormone** to enhance intestinal adaptation and thus improve absorption\(^18\). Teduglutide, a long-acting analogue of glucagon-like peptide-2, has also shown promising results in a multicentre randomised controlled trial\(^19\).

---

**Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and the role of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy**

Animal models show that massive small bowel resection provokes hyperplasia, not only in the stomach and the remaining intestine, but also in the exocrine pancreas\(^20\). However after bowel resections, a decrease in digestive enzymes of the pancreatic tissue, namely amylase, lipase, and chymotrypsinogen have also been detected in animal models. The complex interaction between secretions of the gut, stomach and pancreas are likely to be interrupted by the total, or partial, removal of the small bowel\(^21\). Therefore, it is reasonable to expect patients who have had extensive small bowel resections to experience a degree of PEI. PEI symptoms after bowel resection are nonspecific. Typically, patients can experience abdominal pain, diarrhoea, steatorrhoea, weight loss, fatigue and malnutrition.

There are several physiological mechanisms by which bowel resection may result in absolute or relative PEI, thus contributing to the malabsorptive state.

- **Decreased intestinal transit time** leads to inadequate mixing of nutrient macromolecules with endogenous pancreatic enzymes, thereby reducing the efficacy of digestion\(^4,22\).

- **The presence of enteric contents** causes the small bowel to secrete hormones that stimulate output of pancreatic enzymes and/or juice\(^22\), or elicit a stimulatory enteropancreatic cholinergic reflex\(^23\). This is particularly the case for duodenum and proximal jejunum, which is pivotal in the so-called intestinal phase of digestion. Removal of this part of the bowel may therefore render pancreatic exocrine output insufficient to fully digest nutrient intake.

- **Gastric hyperacidity** occurs due to compensatory hypersecretion of gastrin as well as loss of one or more inhibitors of gastric acid secretion that would otherwise be produced by proximal small bowel (e.g. cholecystokinin and secretin). The reduced pH impairs the activation of pancreatic enzymes in the normally alkaline milieu of the duodenum\(^22,24,25\). This may not be entirely redressed by the gastrin-induced hypertrophy of the exocrine pancreas.
Bacterial overgrowth may occur in the small bowel following extensive resection, particularly where this has included the ileocaecal valve. While being a direct cause of malabsorption, small bowel bacterial overgrowth may also lead to premature enzyme degradation in the gastrointestinal tract.\(^{17}\)

If employed as a temporising measure to slow gastrointestinal transit, somatostatin analogues such as octreotide also reduce the output of pancreatic juice.\(^{5}\) This needs to be taken into account if non-elemental enteral feeding has been instituted.

A number of reviews and case series have recommended the use of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) as part of the multimodal pharmaceutical and nutritional management of SBS.\(^{14;15;22;26-29}\) However, there remains a paucity of randomised controlled trials demonstrating a specific positive effect of PERT in this population, and it is unclear that PERT is of benefit in the absence of a history of PEI.\(^{15;30}\)

Theoretically, the use of pancreatic enzymes together with gastric acid suppression therapy should enable gastric digestion of nutrients with increased delivery of digestive products in the small bowel. Because of the superior stimulatory capacity of peptides, amino acids and free fatty acids compared with macronutrients, conditions for nutrient absorption should be improved by increased endogenous pancreatic enzyme secretion, delayed gastric emptying and small intestinal transit as well as mucosal hypertrophy.\(^{31}\) An uncoated form of pancreatic enzyme replacement is recommended because it will dissolve rapidly enough to have maximum efficacy.\(^{4}\)

If PERT is prescribed, it should be administered with meals to allow for adequate mixing of enzymes and meal nutrients.\(^{32}\) Standard lipase doses of 25,000 to 40,000 IU with regular meals could be prescribed.\(^{33}\) For smaller meals or snacks, 10,000 IU of lipase should be adequate.\(^{32}\) If standard doses do not achieve adequate reduction of symptoms, the dosage can be increased two to three times. Because of potential side effects, dosages of more than 75,000 IU of lipase per meal are not recommended.\(^{34}\)

**Summary**

Pancreatic hyperplasia and a decrease in pancreatic digestive enzymes are consequences of bowel surgery, (level of evidence 2a). However the digestive consequences of bowel resection are subject to considerable individual variation, largely due to the site and extent of resection. There is limited information in recent published literature around PEI in patients after bowel surgery, although the consensus is that it does occur, particularly affecting those patients who have undergone extensive small bowel resections.

Where maldigestion and/or malabsorption are clinically evident, a tailored multimodal therapeutic approach is required.

PERT may be prescribed for confirmed PEI in this patient population. However, it is important to note that there is a lack of robust clinical trials to support this practice.

Theoretically, PERT could be prescribed with gastric acid suppression therapy to enable gastric digestion of nutrients with increased delivery of digestive products to the small bowel.
Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy after bowel surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Dietary and pharmaceutical management for patients after small bowel resection is complex and should be individualised based on gastrointestinal anatomy, underlying disease and lifestyle. Referral to a dietician is recommended.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength of agreement graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>PERT should be considered for those with clinical evidence of PEI and its ongoing requirement reviewed regularly because of possible intestinal adaptation.</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength of agreement graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Oral PERT doses should be individualised. Generally, the required amount of lipase is 25,000 to 40,000 U lipase with regular meals, and 10,000 U lipase with small meals and snacks, depending on their fat content.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength of agreement graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Gastric acid suppression therapy should be given with PERT to increase the release of nutrients in the small bowel, bearing in mind the risk of bacterial overgrowth.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Strength of agreement graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Search strategy

Searches were done through PubMed, Google Scholar and Cochrane – using terms [short bowel syndrome]/[short gut syndrome]/[bowel resection] AND [pancreatic exocrine insufficiency]/[pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy].
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Chapter 9

Use of PERT after gastric surgery

Anatomical and nutritional consequences of gastric surgery

Gastric surgery is frequently associated with diarrhoea and weight loss as well as various micronutrient deficiencies. The physiological functions of the stomach are complex, and its interactions with other organs are poorly understood. Nevertheless, through its mechanical and chemical processes, the stomach has a unique role in food processing and bioavailability.

Gastric surgery has become an uncommon procedure in developed countries over the last two decades with a greatly reduced need to undertake surgery for peptic ulcer disease and a reduced incidence of gastric cancer to 5 to 9 per 100,000, although the incidence of gastric cancer rises to 60 per 100,000 in some regions of Japan and Chile, and world-wide, gastric cancer remains an important condition. There has also been a great increase in the use of gastric surgery for the treatment of obesity.

In the 1960s and 1970s gastric surgery could lead to the conversion of a patient into a ‘gastric cripple’ who experienced weight loss, diarrhoea, and various micronutrient deficiencies. The cause of this was unclear, although in a number of gastric operations, inadequate or asynchronous pancreatic enzyme secretion can lead to poor mixing of enzymes with intestinal chyme.

Gastric surgery procedures

- Gastric surgery is still occasionally required to treat severe peptic ulcer disease or its complications. While the vast majority of peptic ulcers are now managed with medication, partial gastrectomy is sometimes indicated for patients who do not respond satisfactorily to medical therapy, those who develop a bleeding or perforated ulcer and those who develop pyloric obstruction.

- Truncal vagotomy was popular until the introduction of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). It required a drainage procedure such as gastroenterostomy, pyloroplasty or antrectomy, because of denervation of the antrum. Sometimes the severe, persistent abdominal pain, vomiting, dumping or diarrhoea seen several years after truncal vagotomy were improved by conversion of the gastroenterostomy to a pyloroplasty. Truncal vagotomy also denervates the pancreas and the drainage procedure (e.g. gastroenterostomy) bypasses the duodenum, so these lesser procedures can also lead to asynchrony between pancreatic secretion and the passage of intestinal contents, and dumping can also occur. Highly selective vagotomy, a technically difficult procedure, was developed to prevent these problems, but before the advent of PPIs, was associated with a higher rate of ulcer recurrence. Thankfully, the development of PPIs has greatly reduced the need for such surgery and its consequent problems.

- Total gastrectomy results in anastomosis of the oesophagus to a Roux-en-Y loop of jejunum, bypassing the duodenum. The nutritional status and symptoms in a cohort of 19 patients, at 30 months after a total gastrectomy, have been reviewed. One patient was house-bound because of post-gastrectomy symptoms, three had reduced their daily activities and the remainder experienced minimal disturbance of daily activity. All patients underwent a three-day faecal fat determination. Although no patient had symptomatic steatorrhoea, the mean excretion of fat was 8.1g/d with five patients losing between 10 and 19g fat/d. These patients frequently took nutritional supplements (particularly vitamin B12) so it is difficult to attribute micronutrient deficiencies to asynchrony of bile and pancreatic secretions with the presence of a food bolus, but low folate and iron levels along with osteoporosis were the most frequent nutritional problems. Patients who were not bothered by digestive symptoms tended to increase their overall food intake. It would have been interesting to...
give a trial of PERT although it is not certain whether this is beneficial. Others have confirmed fat malabsorption to be the main nutritional consequence of total gastrectomy.

Pancreatico-biliary bypass, a procedure used for severe obesity, results in an extreme example of asynchrony because bile and pancreatic secretions arrive in the distal ileum via a short common channel. One paper reports that the above complications were reduced when this common channel was increased from 50 to 60 cm. It is not surprising, therefore, that following a pancreatico-biliary bypass considerable weight loss occurs, associated with significant vitamin and trace element deficiencies and that these patients require supplementation with multiple micro-nutrients.

Causes of maldigestion after gastric surgery

Clinically relevant postoperative maldigestion is associated with PEI and occurs irrespective of the type of gastrectomy procedure. In addition, malnutrition and consequent body weight loss may be related to the loss of gastric reservoir. Coupled with this, centrally mediated hypothalamic factors may also cause appetite suppression. Increased peristalsis and bacterial overgrowth may cause diarrhoea, while rapid small bowel transit and intestinal malabsorption play a part in causing steatorrhoea. The causes of malabsorption following gastric surgery are most likely multifactorial and although they include a degree of pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI) it has been shown that maldigestion is not completely reversed by PERT.

Managing nutritional deficiencies after gastric surgery

The majority of patients undergoing a gastrectomy do not have symptomatic PEI and do not require long term management with PERT, but do require monitoring of nutritional status including weight, body muscle and fat mass, iron, folate and vitamin B12 status as well as bone mineral density. Because intrinsic factor in the stomach facilitates vitamin B12 absorption in the terminal ileum, after partial gastrectomy intrinsic factor is reduced and after total gastrectomy, abolished, causing vitamin B12 deficiency. In addition, where the duodenum has been bypassed, iron deficiency results. Hence, all total gastrectomy and many partial gastrectomy patients need to have vitamin B12 and iron replacement therapy. As well, calcium absorption and vitamin D deficiency can be associated with the steatorrhoea which can follow gastric surgery, with implications for bone mineral density.

Patients who are symptomatic after gastric surgery require evaluation by a gastroenterologist for dumping syndrome, intestinal transit time and bacterial overgrowth, including small bowel biopsy. Dumping syndrome can be managed by encouraging the intake of whole grain food without sugars and limiting liquids with meals. These patients should also sit at the table for half an hour after eating. Culture of intestinal fluid and small bowel biopsy may provide guidance on specific antibiotic management if bacterial overgrowth is detected.

Decision about the use of PERT in symptomatic patients requires consideration of specific issues, including:

1. In some cases the gastric remnant is so small that food passes more rapidly than normal into the small bowel. In these cases the PERT capsule may pass into the small bowel and avoid being mixed with the food.
2. In other cases, gastric emptying may be so slow that the enzymes are exposed to gastric acid and inactivated.
3. Polya (gastrojejunlal) or Roux-en-Y reconstructions result in bypass of the duodenum so that pancreatic secretions might not be synchronised with the passage of food. This is aggravated by vagotomy.
4. Bacterial overgrowth is a result of a lack of acid and influences absorption by the intestine, resulting in steatorrhoea. It could be improved with appropriate use of antibiotics.
5. Assessment of pancreatic function is challenging because of the difficulty of accessing the duodenum for endoscopic or tube-based tests. Further, the FE-1 test is not reliable because asynchrony results in the passage of enzymes to the stool even though they may not have been effective. The $^{13}$C triglyceride
breath or other indirect test may be the best way to study PEI here. Others have considered the Lundh test as valuable in this situation.

Managing PEI after gastric surgery

PERT has been shown to improve, but not completely reverse symptoms which can follow gastric surgery. It appears to be warranted for patients with severe steatorroea. Although PEI can occur after any type of gastric surgery, its aetiology needs to be assessed to exclude reversible causes.

Studies on the assessment of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency after gastric surgery

It has long been established that patients develop a degree of PEI following gastrectomy. In one study, the secretion of bicarbonate, lipase and chymotrypsin into the duodenum was investigated in 12 patients at an average of 21 months after total gastrectomy. In this study, 14 healthy individuals with no history of digestive disease acted as control subjects. The results showed a significant reduction in bicarbonate and lipase secretion in the gastrectomy patients compared with controls. Two-thirds of gastrectomy patients also had steatorrhoea. The authors concluded that the majority of patients who had undergone gastrectomy had impaired exocrine function. In a study by Friess et al, patients had secretin stimulation studies before and after gastrectomy for cancer. All measures were reduced by 72 to 84 percent of their preoperative values. However as pointed out by Keller, this is due to a number of factors such as denervation, lack of duodenal stimulation and possibly a degree of pancreatitis. In addition, gastric emptying after a meal is not synchronous with the discharge of bile and pancreatic enzymes into the small intestine and the ensuing small bowel motor dysfunction exacerbates the situation, with –

- Decreased endogenous stimulation of the pancreas, leading to reduced intraluminal pancreatic enzymes,
- Insufficient mixing of pancreatic juice with the nutrients in chyme and
- Reduced contact time for digestion of nutrients.

Studies on the effectiveness of PERT after gastric surgery

A double-blind, crossover study involving 15 patients evaluated the effects of 300 mg of an enteric-coated pancreatin (10,000 IU lipase; 10,000 IU amylase; 650 IU protease) on abdominal symptoms, bowel habits, faecal fat excretion and oro-caecal transit time in patients after total gastrectomy. Patients were treated with either pancreatin or placebo in two test periods each of seven days. During treatment with pancreatin, stool consistency was significantly more solid. However, the numbers of bowel movements and abdominal symptoms were not affected. Only patients with considerable steatorrhoea experienced a significant reduction in faecal fat excretion. The authors concluded that the use of PERT post-gastrectomy can improve stool consistency and decrease faecal fat excretion in patients experiencing considerable steatorrhoea.

A randomised, double-blind, prospective study of 52 patients was conducted to assess the effect of PERT on symptoms, energy intake, bowel habits and fat malassimilation post gastrectomy. Surprisingly, no differences were found between placebo and PERT patient groups. The authors concluded that PERT confers only marginal improvements in symptoms and steatorrhoea after gastrectomy. However, data from this study did show that patients on PERT had significant improvement in dyspepsia, significant decrease in symptoms of early satiety and an overall improvement in general wellbeing. Nevertheless, as PEI is a well-established complication following gastrectomy, postoperative management should include consideration of the use of PERT for these patients. Adequate enzyme substitution prevents maldigestion and improves postoperative nutritional status, as well as other non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms.
Dosing of PERT

As there are no strict dosing regimens for gastrectomy patients, the required doses of PERT should be individually adjusted. Clinicians should keep in mind, however, that there is no linear relationship between the dose of pancreatic enzyme and the symptoms of maldigestion. Normally, 25,000 to 40,000 IU of lipase taken with regular meals are considered standard doses while 10,000 IU of lipase should supplement small meals or snacks. The exact dosages of other pancreatic enzymes are less important for therapeutic efficacy. PERT should be administered together with the meal; otherwise, enzymes and meal nutrients cannot mix adequately and nutrient digestion and absorption will be impaired. If standard doses do not achieve adequate reduction of steatorrhoea, dosage may be increased two to three times, but should be monitored for its effect on fat absorption. Because of potential side effects, dosages of more than 75,000 IU of lipase per meal are not recommended.

Patients with accelerated gastric emptying due to gastric resection or gastroenterostomy should be treated with pancreatic enzyme granule preparations. Patients with rapid gastrojejunal transit, including those associated with gastrectomy, have a significant decrease in gastric acid secretion. This population is often best treated with granular enzyme preparations. Granular enzyme preparations can be rapidly and safely dispersed in the hypoacidic stomach and act quickly. The disintegration delay conferred by an enteric coating is unnecessary and may in fact be detrimental when small-bowel transit is accelerated. When the granules are not available and the capsules are not providing sufficient symptom relief, then consideration should be given to having the patients open the capsules and mix the contents with soft food.

Speeding up the release of the mini-granules may be obtained by piercing the capsule with a needle or by opening the capsule and sprinkling it over the food. However there is no specific principle that fits all patients and the alteration of their physiology as discussed in Chapter 2 and above needs to be considered for each patient.

Adjunct therapy with PERT

The most important clinical goal of the use of PERT is to achieve effective lipase activity in the intestine. Acid-unstable lipase is predominantly inactivated by gastric acid and proteases, and although acid inactivation is not a problem for patients who have undergone total gastrectomy, those who have had partial gastrectomy still secrete a variable amount of gastric acid. Accordingly, acid suppressants (e.g. H2 antagonists, PPIs) should be considered as adjunct therapy for these patients.

Compliance with PERT

Patients on PERT should be carefully and regularly evaluated. If steatorrhoea does not improve after a trial of PERT as described above, faecal chymotrypsin measurements, if available, could be conducted to determine if patients are compliant with medications. Low activities suggest an insufficient intake of enzymes. Note that results may not be indicative of non-compliance as there are no standardised normal values for enzyme-treated patients. If symptoms and subjective measures such as dyspepsia, early satiety and general wellbeing do not improve with PERT, then discontinuation of treatment should be considered.

Summary

- A majority of patients develop maldigestion after gastric surgery. This can be due to multiple and complex factors which need to be investigated before resorting to PERT (Level of evidence 3b).
- The majority of patients develop a degree of PEI after gastric surgery but for most this does not affect their wellbeing (level of evidence 2a). Patients after gastric surgery whose wellbeing is not severely affected do not require long term PERT.
- All post gastrectomy patients require regular monitoring of nutritional status including weight, body muscle and fat mass, iron, folate, B12 vitamin levels and bone mineral density.
- PEI can contribute to maldigestion and weight loss, and impact on quality of life in gastric surgery patients with more severe bowel symptoms. Adequate and appropriate PERT should be trialled here and continued if patients respond and experience improved wellbeing.
- PERT doses should be individualised. Generally, the required amount of lipase is 25,000 to 40,000 units with each regular meal, and 10,000 units with each small meal or snack.
- Patients with accelerated gastric emptying should be prescribed PERT granules, not capsules.
- Consider the use of adjunct acid suppressant therapy for patients who have undergone partial gastrectomy.
- Doses of PERT should be continually adjusted to the patient’s symptoms.
- Concurrent nutritional management, particularly with regard to dietary fat intake, vitamin B12 and iron supplements also should be implemented (see Chapter 4 - Dietary management).
- PERT therapy should be discontinued if both symptoms and subjective measures do not improve with treatment.

Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy after gastric surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Summary/Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Exclude other treatable causes of maldigestion in patients after gastric surgery before considering PERT.</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>After gastric surgery, patients whose wellbeing is not severely affected do not require long term PERT, provided there is adequate monitoring of nutritional status.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>After gastric surgery, all patients require regular monitoring of nutritional status including weight, body muscle and fat mass, iron, folate, fat-soluble and B12 vitamin levels, and bone mineral density.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>PEI can contribute to maldigestion and weight loss, and impact on quality of life in gastric surgery patients with more severe bowel symptoms. Adequate and appropriate PERT should be trialled here and continued if patients respond and experience improved wellbeing, bearing in mind the risk of a placebo effect.</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>PERT doses should be individualised. Generally, the required amount of lipase is 25,000 to 40,000 units with each regular meal, and 10,000 units with each small meal or snack, adjusting to its fat level.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>Patients with accelerated gastric emptying should be prescribed PERT granules, or capsules can be opened and the contents sprinkled on food.</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Consider the use of adjunct acid suppressant therapy for patients who have undergone partial gastrectomy.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Nutritional management, particularly regarding dietary fat intake, fat-soluble and B12 vitamins and iron supplements also should be implemented concurrently with PERT (see Chapter 4 - Dietary management).</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Summary/Recommendation</td>
<td>Level of evidence</td>
<td>Strength of agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Bacterial overgrowth is a common cause of malabsorption after gastric surgery and does not respond to PERT.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 10

Use of PERT after pancreatectomy

Introduction

Pancreatic resection for benign or malignant disease is a major cause of PEI\textsuperscript{1,3}. Due to large residual exocrine secretion capacity, only significant (90%) loss of normal parenchyma will result in clinical PEI\textsuperscript{4,5}. Various factors influence the development of PEI post parenchymal reduction. These include pre-existing parenchymal disease\textsuperscript{3,6}, the pathological processes affecting the pancreatic exocrine gland and the presence of PEI before parenchymal resection. The extent of parenchymal resection as well as the type of surgical resection also influence post-surgical pancreatic exocrine function. Most patients with PEI prior to parenchymal resection are likely to have persisting or worse PEI after resection, with a few exceptions\textsuperscript{2}.

Figure 10.1 shows the relationship of post-resection PEI with pre-existing parenchymal damage and the extent of pancreatic resection. In patients with borderline PEI, a smaller parenchymal resection is likely to tip them over to clinical PEI. Patients with normal parenchyma will tolerate a great degree of parenchymal reduction before developing clinically significant PEI. In general, patients with normal parenchyma could tolerate a 50% parenchymal resection without developing clinically significant PEI\textsuperscript{1,2}.

Figure 10.1. The relationship of post-resection PEI with pre-existing parenchymal damage and the extent of pancreatic resection

The pancreatic parenchyma pre-resection

In patients with normal parenchyma, resection of 30-50% of the gland is unlikely to cause PEI unless there is a post-resection complication such as ductal anastomotic stricture or remnant ischaemia.
In patients with pre-existing PEI, it is unlikely to be reversed following parenchymal resection. However, in patients with early pancreatic duct obstruction causing PEI, a lesser resection can result in resolution of the PEI due to relief of pancreatic duct (PD) obstruction before significant parenchymal atrophy develops.

Pancreatic pathology prior to parenchymal resection

1. Pancreatic neoplasia. Pancreatic head malignancies causing PD obstruction can result in PEI prior to surgery. In patients with significant pancreatic atrophy, relief of obstruction following pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure) is unlikely to improve the PEI. However in patients with benign or malignant tumours (e.g. neuroendocrine tumours) in the head without significant obstruction, pancreatic exocrine function may be preserved, especially when the parenchymal reduction is less than 50%. The patient with a neoplasm in the pancreatic tail without atrophy in the head or body is unlikely to develop PEI after distal pancreatectomy.

2. Inflammatory processes.
   i) Chronic pancreatitis. The majority of patients with chronic pancreatitis have clinical or subclinical PEI (see Chapter 6). Resectional surgery will exacerbate PEI. Non-resectional surgery such as side-to-side pancreaticojejunostomy (Puestow-type procedures) may relieve symptoms of pain but are unlikely to improve pancreatic exocrine status unless surgery was performed prior to the development of pancreatic atrophy.\(^7\)\(^9\).
   ii) Acute pancreatitis. The development of PEI is dependent on the degree of pancreatic destruction through inflammation, ischaemic necrosis and/or subsequent necrosectomy.\(^10\)\(^11\). PEI can develop after an episode of pancreatitis (see Chapter 5).\(^10\)

Pancreatic resection

As indicated in Figure 10.1, the likelihood of developing PEI correlates with the extent of parenchymal resection and increases with the extent of existing parenchymal disease.

1. Extent of resection. Studies indicate that a 30-50% resection of normal parenchyma in otherwise normal pancreas is unlikely to cause clinically significant PEI. However, in the diseased pancreas with atrophy, inflammation or ductal obstruction, only a minor reduction in parenchyma, in the setting of borderline exocrine function, is likely to result in PEI.

2. Type of resection.
   i) Pancreaticoduodenectomy. The most common pancreatic resection is pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s procedure or its variations). This includes resection of the pancreatic head, gallbladder, common bile duct and duodenum en-bloc as illustrated in Figure 10.2. The pancreas, bile duct and stomach are reconnected to the small intestine. This restores digestive function by re-establishing the flow of pancreatic juice from the pancreas, bile from the bile duct and food from the stomach. The site of transection of the pancreas varies depending on the extent of disease process in the head. In general, the resection line is anterior to the superior mesenteric vein (SMV). However, this may be extended to the left of the SMV into the body of the pancreas depending on the extent of disease. Most Whipple’s procedures are performed for tumours causing PD obstruction with varying degrees of PEI as well as endocrine insufficiency (diabetes). Hence, published series of Whipple’s resections report a higher rate of PEI compared with those of distal pancreatectomy.\(^2\). Note that -
   a. Creation of a long jejunal loop to the pancreaticojejunostomy can result in asynchrony where enzymes do not mix with the chyme,
   b. A pylorus-preserving procedure with a Billroth I type gastrojejunal anastomosis may achieve a more anatomical connection of the pancreaticojejunostomy, thereby reducing the risk of postoperative PEI,
c. Pancreaticogastrostomy results in acid destruction of enzymes released into the stomach and therefore increases the risk of PEI,
d. Comparison of Cattell (mucosa to mucosa) and mattress anastomotic techniques in a randomised controlled study of 113 patients showed no difference in enzyme requirements.

*Figure 10.2 Pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple’s resection) for pancreatic head tumour.*

![Pancreaticoduodenectomy](image1)

*Made available with permission from The Elkins Pancreas Center at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA.*

ii) Distal pancreatectomy. The majority of these patients have normal PD in the head and body of the pancreas and hence a relatively normal parenchyma (see Figure 10.3). Distal pancreatectomy is therefore less likely to be associated with PEI post resection in the absence of diffuse parenchymal disease.

*Figure 10.3 Distal pancreatectomy with preservation of the pancreatic body and head.*

![Distal pancreatectomy](image2)

*Made available with permission from The Elkins Pancreas Center at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA.*
iii) Central pancreatectomy. This is a less common type of resection. Patients with central pancreatectomy may develop PEI depending on the extent of resection as well as adequate drainage of the tail of the pancreas. Central resection is often performed for more benign tumours to preserve pancreatic exocrine and endocrine function. Recent reports indicate that central parenchymal resection preserves pancreatic exocrine function[^14]–[^16].

iv) Total or subtotal pancreatectomy. Subtotal pancreatectomy is sometimes performed for the adult form of nesidioblastosis[^17]–[^19], and involves resection of 90-95% of the parenchyma, leaving a rim of pancreatic head next to the duodenum. Invariably, all these patients develop PEI, similar to those undergoing total pancreatectomy.

Assessment of PEI after resection

1. Pre-resectional PEI. The patient in this category is likely to have worse PEI postoperatively, with a few exceptions where the pancreatic duct obstruction is relieved at resection.

2. Normal parenchyma. Patients with <50% resection, especially of the pancreatic tail, may not need to undergo formal PEI testing in the absence of clinical PEI symptoms.

3. Parenchymal atrophy or inflammation with pancreatic resection. Patients with no clinical PEI will need to undergo PEI investigation as outlined in Chapter 2.

4. Late PEI post resection. A significant number of patients will develop pancreatic duct anastomotic stricture after Whipple’s procedure or central pancreatectomy. Patients with dilated ducts may develop PEI which will require pancreatic exocrine replacement.

5. The types of pancreatic resection affect PEI evaluation as well as the efficacy of PERT. Surgical resection, including classical pancreaticoduodenectomy and its variants, can affect intestinal anatomy. With jejunal reconstruction, pancreatic secretions are delivered to food further downstream, which affects the type of test which can be used for valid assessment of PEI. The administration of PERT is also affected, with distal enzyme release leading to uneven mixing with chyme. This renders the use of FE-1 to detect PEI inaccurate[^20]. Patients with preservation of the duodenum after distal pancreatectomy are less likely to suffer from such asynchrony.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy

Most patients who undergo major pancreatic resection have a degree of exocrine insufficiency. Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) may be an important part of the long-term management of some of these patients[^21];[^22]. The key clinical issue is to identify those who require enzyme replacement. Symptoms of pancreatic insufficiency may not be obvious, and sequelae of malnutrition such as osteoporosis due to deficiency of the fat-soluble vitamins, particularly Vitamin D, may not become apparent for many years. In patients where PEI is identified or suspected, adequate PERT reduces malnutrition, normalises biochemical indices of malnutrition, assists a patient to recover much of their original body weight and improves their overall quality of life[^23];[^24]. There is limited information concerning the routine use of PERT, and there are no data that define a threshold for the requirement of replacement therapy. Furthermore, objective measures of PEI have not proven to be clinically useful at this point in time.

Individualised treatment is required

Patients can have different degrees of PEI. The dosage of pancreatic enzymes should therefore be titrated to the symptoms of the individual patient[^25];[^26].

All patients should be monitored and screened for symptoms and signs of inadequate enzyme replacement. These include weight loss, diarrhoea, steatorrhoea and stool fat excretion in excess of 15 g/day[^23].

---
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Efficacy, dose and administration

To date, there are only a few robust randomised clinical trials concerning the use of PERT post-pancreatectomy. Moreover, existing studies utilise only small sample sizes.

A study evaluating the efficacy of PERT post-pancreatectomy involved 11 patients. After surgery, all patients received four weeks of daily individualised doses of pancreatin. Patients were then randomised to receive a further four weeks of pancreatin or placebo. Intestinal absorption and nutritional status were measured at baseline, four weeks and eight weeks. Results showed that pancreatin supplementation significantly improved total energy absorption with increased absorption of dietary fat. The study also indicated advantages of continuing therapy.

Jang et al. studied the metabolic effects of anastomotic site after a standard pancreatoduodenectomy in two cohorts of patients, comparing pancreaticojejunostomy with pancreateogastrostomy. While quality of life was similar for patients after either anastomosis, the degree of steatorrhea was greater after pancreateogastrostomy. This group has also demonstrated that 3 months after a standard pancreatoduodenectomy or a pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy, about 13 percent develop steatorrhea. In a randomised study involving 39 patients who had undergone total or partial pancreatectomy, high-dose and standard-dose pancreatin were equally effective for the treatment of PEI. However, the authors noted that improved approaches are required for managing fat malabsorption in this group of patients.

A more recent randomised double-blinded 1-week placebo-controlled study of 58 post-pancreatectomy patients using high dose lipase (75,000 units per meal and 25,000 units per snack) for PEI after pancreatic surgery with a one-year open label extension, confirmed the efficacy of PERT with an increased co-efficient of fat absorption (CFA) in the treated group with compared with a decrease in placebo group. This study also found that where surgery resulted in asynchrony, only 27% achieved CFA >85% when treated with PERT. In both groups at the end of the open label extension (all treated with high dose lipase after 1 week of randomisation), CFA values were all improved from baseline. The authors also noted that although the high dose PERT was effective in increasing the CFA, it was not sufficient to normalise fat absorption. The promising result at the end of one year’s treatment was a significant increase in body weight and BMI from baseline and a significant reduction of daily stool frequency and stool weight.

The relationship between the dose of pancreatic enzymes required and symptoms of maldigestion is not linear. In general, the required amount of lipase to be delivered to the small intestine with each meal is in the order of 25,000 to 50,000 units. However, one study indicated that a higher lipase level of 75,000 units or greater may be required. Even at this level, CFA can be improved but not normalised. Acid suppression therapy may be a useful adjunct therapy and is recommended, especially if severe steatorrhoea continues despite normal dosing of pancreatic enzymes.

Summary

Major pancreatic resections impair not only pancreatic function but also the function of the entire upper gastrointestinal tract. This can adversely affect the nutritional status and overall quality of life of these individuals.

Current literature suggests that with advances in surgical techniques and post-operative care (including optimal pancreatic enzyme replacement), the majority of patients will have good outcomes with minimal gastrointestinal symptoms after pancreatic resection, will be able to maintain adequate weight and achieve a high quality of life.

Total pancreatectomy and radical pancreatectomy are always associated with PEI and therefore require PERT.

Pancreatecoduodenectomy frequently results in PEI and this increases in incidence over time (level of evidence 2b).

Tumours in the pancreatic head are associated with a high rate of PEI at diagnosis. This increases following pancreatic head resection (level 4).

Central pancreatic resection is associated with a lower rate of PEI (level 4).
Pancreatic exocrine function is preserved after distal pancreatectomy (level 4).

Although distal and central pancreatectomy may not require PERT, it is important that PEI is suspected and assessed, depending on the underlying state of the pancreas.

**Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>Any patient requiring pancreatic resection should be assessed for the presence of PEI postoperatively.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Patients having total or subtotal pancreatectomy, including pancreatic head resection, require PERT postoperatively.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>After pancreatectomy an individual’s nutritional status (including serum levels of vitamins and minerals) should be monitored so that appropriate treatment can be provided.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Patients who are pancreatic-sufficient in the early period after any pancreatic resection should have long-term assessment for the development of PEI.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>PERT is required in patients after pancreatico-gastrostomy because of the effect of acid on endogenous enzymes.</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>PERT following pancreatectomy should be individualized and titrated to indicators of PEI, bearing in mind asynchrony and bacterial overgrowth.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 11

Use of PERT in unresectable pancreatic cancer

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is the most common cancer of the exocrine pancreas and is the fourth most common cause of cancer-related mortality in Australia. Nationwide, 2,780 new cases of pancreatic cancer were diagnosed in 2010 with 2,434 deaths occurring during the same time period. In 2012 there were an estimated 330,000 deaths attributed to pancreatic cancer globally, with an age-standardised annual incidence rate of 7.2 cases per 100,000 in developed countries.

Pancreatic cancer has one of the worst overall survival rates of any cancer with less than 5% of affected patients alive five years after initial diagnosis. Only 10-20% of all patients with pancreatic cancer are eligible for potentially curative resection. Consequently, for the vast majority of patients, only palliative treatment options remain.

Palliative treatment of patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer is important to achieve optimal quality of life for the duration of illness. Palliative treatment mainly aims to prevent or treat obstructive jaundice, duodenal obstruction and pain. Many clinicians fail to give adequate attention to the cachexia, weight loss and malabsorption seen with patients during the course of their illness. The majority of patients with pancreatic carcinomas report weight loss at the time of diagnosis, with pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) appearing to be an important contributing factor. This not only contributes to ongoing weight loss, but the actual extent of PEI as measured by faecal elastase-1 (FE-1) testing appears to be an independent prognostic factor. Partelli et al. reported on 194 patients with advanced pancreatic cancer and found that the median survival was 7.3 months for 25% of patients with extremely low FE-1 level (≤ 20 µg/g) compared to 11 months in the remainder.

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency

Patients with pancreatic cancer experience some degree of PEI, ranging from 46% to 92%, during the course of their disease. In one large study of 215 patients with locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer, 46% had a diagnosis of steatorrhoea at presentation. A recent study consisting of 24 patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer and 7 with resected pancreatic cancer identified issues relating to symptoms of malabsorption and PEI to be the major cause of impaired quality of life. It also highlighted deficiencies in provision of adequate dietary consultation for patients with pancreatic cancer.

Weight loss and cancer cachexia appears to be a universal feature of pancreatic cancer. About 90% of patients with pancreatic cancer experience weight loss at the time of diagnosis. Tumour-derived factors cause metabolic abnormalities that result in increased glucose production, increased whole body protein breakdown and increased lipolysis, with an overall depletion of body protein and fat stores. This is compounded by the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the tumour microenvironment, acting through peripheral pathways to augment lipolysis, proteolysis and insulin resistance and centrally mediated pathways that cause anorexia. These effects can be augmented by secondary mechanical factors that can occur such as intestinal obstruction, pain and nausea and vomiting. PEI predominantly occurs as a result of blockage of the main pancreatic duct and is compounded by pancreatic parenchymal tumour replacement. The likelihood of PEI is greatest in patients with tumours involving the pancreatic head, that cause pancreatic and bile duct obstruction. In one recent study the prevalence of PEI was 66% in 32 patients with a pancreatic head tumours and increased to 92% at 2 months’ follow-up.

Palliative surgery when performed to bypass the bile duct and stomach adds to the problem of PEI when it results in an asynchrony between gastric emptying and discharge of pancreatic enzymes and bile into the
The overall effects of PEI are faecal losses of energy through steatorrhoea and creatorrhoea. PEI also directly impacts absorption of fat-soluble vitamins and omega-3 fatty acids, which appear particularly important for weight-gain and body mass composition.

Management

Palliative care, including prevention of further weight loss, is important for these patients and their families. The provision of individualised treatment plans for patients with distressing nausea, weight loss and pain is a specialised service. The involvement of palliative care teams provides support and expertise which is greatly appreciated by patients and their families. They should be introduced to these teams early in the cancer journey so they are prepared for and confident about their symptom control as the tumour progresses.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) can be important for patients with weight loss associated with pancreatic cancer. The main principle of PERT is simulation of the normal physiologic state of exocrine pancreatic products by exogenous administration during meals. PERT can often relieve many of the symptoms associated with PEI and can allow patients to increase food intake and improve their nutritional status. A dietitian assessment, with evaluation of the patient’s caloric and nutrient intake, is an important component of the management approach.

A prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled study of 21 patients with unresectable cancer of the pancreatic head region showed that weight loss in these patients can be reduced by high dose PERT in combination with appropriate dietary counselling. However, PERT improved only moderate-to-severe fat and protein malabsorption. Mild fat or protein malabsorption in pancreatic cancer patients did not seem to improve with PERT. A separate randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial also found that PERT improved steatorrhoea and stool consistency. In a retrospective study, 21 patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer were assessed for PEI and treated appropriately. They had significantly longer survival than 45 patients during the same period who were not assessed for PEI and did not receive PERT.

Dosage

Patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer should be offered PERT and guidance by a dietitian early in their management, with a view of enabling best quality of life (see Chapter 4). A typical starting dose to consider is 25,000-50,000 IU of pancreatic lipase taken with main meals and 10,000-25,000 IU with snacks. Dosage increases may be required with increased fat intake.

Other associated nutritional deficiencies

Dietary omega-3 fatty acids supplementation should be considered in pancreatic cancer patients. Studies show potential benefits of omega-3 fatty acids in in suppressing the inflammatory pathways involved in cancer cachexia syndrome. Due to potential deficiencies in fat-soluble vitamins such as A, D, E and K that can accompany PEI, multivitamin supplementation should also be considered.
Summary

- Pancreatic cancer is associated with a poor prognosis. Many patients present at an advanced stage, when curative surgery is not an option.

- About 90% of patients with pancreatic cancer have weight loss at the time of diagnosis. Weight loss may be exacerbated by malabsorption, as a result of pancreatic duct obstruction and destroyed pancreatic tissue, reducing the availability of pancreatic enzymes. This results in PEI with associated steatorrhoea.

- Even if patients do not have PEI at the time of diagnosis, the majority will develop it during the course of their disease.

- Palliative care should include the control of symptoms associated with PEI as this can have a significant impact on quality of life.

- PERT can often relieve symptoms associated with PEI and can allow patients to increase food intake and improve their nutritional status.

- Clinical studies have shown that PERT is effective and important in the nutritional management of patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer.

Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in unresectable pancreatic cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>PERT and dietary guidance by a dietitian should be considered for treatment of PEI in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancers from the time of diagnosis in order to maintain weight and improve quality of life.</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>![Bar Chart]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>Palliative care should continue the control of symptoms associated with PEI as this can have a significant impact on quality of life of patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>![Bar Chart]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Search strategy
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Chapter 12

Diabetes Mellitus

Introduction

Diabetes is a well-recognised complication of diseases of the pancreas; this form of diabetes has pathophysiology and management considerations that are distinct from those for type 1 or 2 diabetes, and pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy has a potential role in improving glycaemic control in such patients. Conversely, both PEI and steatorrhoea can be documented in a substantial proportion of patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes. However, direct tests of pancreatic exocrine function suggest that PEI in this setting is usually only mild to moderate, and many cases of steatorrhoea appear unrelated to pancreatic exocrine dysfunction. Therefore, the value of investigating pancreatic exocrine function in patients with diabetes remains controversial.

Diabetes as a consequence of pancreatic exocrine disease (type 3c)

Incidence and criteria for diagnosis

The American Diabetes Association Classification of Diabetes recognises pancreateogenic diabetes as a distinct form of the disease, designated type 3c. Specific underlying pancreatic diseases listed in the classification include pancreatitis, trauma or pancreatectomy, neoplasia, cystic fibrosis, haemochromatosis and fibrocalculous pancreatopathy. Estimates of the proportion of diabetes that is pancreateogenic vary widely, from 1-2% in western nations to 15-20% in India and Southeast Asia, with the high prevalence in the latter regions attributable to fibrocalculous disease. A review of almost 2000 patients with diabetes attending a German tertiary hospital suggested that pancreateogenic diabetes is under-recognised, and that 8% could be classified as type 3c on the basis of imaging, demonstration of PEI, and absence of autoantibodies. Although there are no agreed guidelines, Ewald et al proposed that all these three criteria must be present to categorise diabetes as type 3c. Additional features of impaired beta cell function (as determined, for example, by HOMA-B (homeostasis model assessment of beta cell function), lack of insulin resistance (e.g. by HOMA-IR), impaired incretin secretion, and low concentrations of fat-soluble vitamins are deemed suggestive, but not essential to the diagnosis. The risk of diabetes in chronic pancreatitis increases over time; in a French cohort, over 80% developed diabetes when followed for up to 25 years, and about half ultimately required insulin therapy.

Pathophysiology of type 3c diabetes

The pathophysiology of type 3c diabetes is distinct from types 1 and 2, and predisposes patients to postprandial hyperglycaemia in particular. In addition to deficient insulin secretion, there is a deficiency of pancreatic polypeptide (mainly secreted from the head of the pancreas), which may result in failure to suppress postprandial hepatic glucose production, and impaired secretion of the incretin hormones, which play an important role in postprandial blood glucose regulation. Moreover, a deficiency of glucagon secretion (in contrast to types 1 and 2 diabetes), together with malabsorption of nutrients, and intact or enhanced peripheral insulin sensitivity, predispose these patients to episodes of hypoglycaemia.

Treatment of type 3c diabetes

There is limited evidence to guide treatment of type 3c diabetes. Glycaemic control is important, given that these patients are at similar risk of microvascular complications as patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes. In the latter, prevention of macrovascular complications appears to rely more on good
control of lipids and blood pressure than on tight glycaemic control, but there is no evidence specifically relating to type 3c diabetes. Patients should be screened for deficiencies of fat-soluble vitamins.

Metformin is generally recommended for control of glycaemia, and may reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer. Many patients require insulin or insulin secretagogues, although there is evidence that these may increase cancer risk. Thiazolidinediones, which are peripheral insulin sensitizers, should be avoided. Incretin-based therapies (glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors) have in themselves been linked to acute pancreatitis – though this is controversial – and for this reason they have not been generally recommended in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

The incretin hormones, GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) are released from the small and large intestine in response to nutrient exposure, with fat being a potent stimulus for their secretion. Both hormones stimulate insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent fashion, while GLP-1 also slows gastric emptying and suppresses glucagon, all of which limit postprandial glycaemic excursions. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and fat malabsorption (e.g. due to alcohol use or cystic fibrosis) have impaired secretion of GLP-1 and GIP together with rapid emptying of high fat/carbohydrate meals from the stomach and prominent early postprandial hyperglycaemia, all of which are ameliorated acutely when pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) is provided. This should translate to improved glycaemic control with use of long term PERT, but remains to be firmly established in a clinical trial. Patients with diabetes in the setting of tropical calculous pancreatitis had improvements in postprandial blood glucose and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) when treated with PERT for 6 months, but this study was open-label and uncontrolled. Two placebo-controlled studies failed to show improvements in overall glycaemic control after 4 or 14 days’ PERT in patients with chronic pancreatitis, although the variation in blood glucose values was decreased during PERT in one study, and glycaemic control became more unstable when changing from placebo to PERT, or vice versa, in the other, with more hypoglycaemic episodes seen in the placebo group.

New-onset diabetes and diagnosis of pancreatic cancer

Identifying patients with early pancreatic cancer is difficult but important. Recent-onset diabetes is more common in patients with a new diagnosis of pancreatic cancer and a clue to the diagnosis may be greater weight loss in diabetic than in non-diabetic cancer patients. CA 19-9 has been found to be elevated up to 24 months before pancreatic cancer becomes overt and may be a useful indicator. Diabetic patients with pancreatic cancer were found to be more likely to have perineural invasion and were less likely to have pain.

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency as a complication of diabetes types 1 and 2

There are several plausible reasons why diabetes could be complicated by pancreatic exocrine dysfunction. Any process affecting the islets – such as autoimmune destruction – could also affect the exocrine component of the gland. Moreover, there may be a loss of the trophic effect of insulin on the pancreas, or a suppressive effect from high levels of glucagon, vascular damage resulting from small vessel disease, or impaired entero-pancreatic reflexes due to autonomic neuropathy. Finally, acute hyperglycaemia can reversibly impair exocrine pancreatic secretion.

Direct pancreatic function tests have been relatively consistent over several decades in showing reduced enzyme and/or bicarbonate secretion in response to hormonal stimulation in about 40-80% of patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes. However, in almost all cases the impairment in exocrine function was mild to moderate, and not to the degree that would be associated with overt fat malabsorption. Furthermore, most studies showed no association – or only a weak relationship – between pancreatic exocrine dysfunction and duration of type 1 diabetes, and when such patients
were followed up over a decade after their initial evaluation, any exocrine insufficiency tended not to progress\textsuperscript{27}.

Value of indirect pancreatic function tests in diabetes

Over the last 10-15 years there has been considerable interest in the use of indirect pancreatic function tests, particularly faecal elastase-1 (FE-1) assays, to explore the prevalence of PEI in patients with diabetes\textsuperscript{28-32}. Most studies indicate that in type 1 diabetes, 35-50\% of patients have FE-1 concentrations < 200 µg/g, of whom 20-30\% have concentrations < 100 µg/g (“severe” deficiency), with corresponding values of 20-35\% and 10-20\% in type 2 diabetes, compared to healthy controls where about 5\% have FE-1 < 200 µg/g. Most of these studies specifically examined whether duration of diabetes was related to FE-1 concentration, and could find no association. Few studies have determined whether low FE-1 concentrations are associated with symptoms; Hardt et al could not show such a relationship, although their patients with diabetes overall had more gastrointestinal symptoms than non-diabetic controls\textsuperscript{28}.

A fundamental concern is whether FE-1 is a sufficiently accurate measure of pancreatic exocrine function to be useful in clinical practice. Although it has good sensitivity for detecting patients who have severe PEI on direct testing, both its sensitivity and specificity for detecting mild to moderate PEI are limited\textsuperscript{33}. In patients with diabetes, there have been few attempts to compare FE-1 concentrations with direct tests of pancreatic exocrine function. Hahn et al studied 33 patients with longstanding type 1 diabetes using the secretin-caerulein test, and reported that 11 patients had PEI, all of whom were only mildly to moderately deficient\textsuperscript{34}. The positive predictive value of an abnormal FE-1 concentration for PEI was about 40\%, the negative predictive value about 70\%, and sensitivity and specificity about 55\% and 60\% respectively. FE-1 testing has been reported in general to have good reproducibility\textsuperscript{35}, although this issue has not been specifically examined in patients with diabetes.

Few studies have related abnormal FE-1 to steatorrhoea in patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes. Two groups evaluated faecal fat in patients with FE-1 deficiency in the “severe” range (< 100 µg/g), and found that 35-40\% had steatorrhoea\textsuperscript{31,36}, but in neither case was there a control group of patients with normal FE-1. In the study of Hahn et al, 8 of 33 patients with type 1 diabetes had steatorrhoea, but only half of these had any degree of PEI on direct function testing, and none had <10\% of normal pancreatic exocrine function, which would be the usual threshold for inducing pancreatogenic steatorrhoea\textsuperscript{24}. The implication is that steatorrhoea is relatively common in diabetes, but is often of non-pancreatogenic origin, e.g. due to small intestinal bacterial overgrowth.

One study specifically examined the impact of PERT in patients with diabetes who were found to have FE-1 concentrations in the “severely deficient” range (< 100 µg/g)\textsuperscript{37}. Eighty “insulin-treated” patients were randomized to 16 weeks of PERT or placebo. Although PERT had no apparent adverse effects, there were no differences in fasting or 2-hour blood glucose concentrations on an oral glucose tolerance test, between the PERT and placebo groups, and no differences in HbA1c, insulin doses, or gastrointestinal symptoms. However, this study has the limitations that it would likely have been underpowered to show a change in HbA1c, and it would be more relevant to study blood glucose concentrations after a mixed meal containing fat, than after a glucose drink. Better-designed and adequately powered trials are needed to determine the benefits of PERT in this setting in terms of postprandial glycaemic control, gastrointestinal symptoms, and absorption of fat-soluble vitamins.
Summary

The proportion of diabetes that is pancreatogenic (type 3c) is likely to be underestimated, but may be as high as 5-10% in western nations.

There are specific management considerations in type 3c diabetes, but more evidence is needed to determine the benefits of stringent attempts to exclude a pancreatic cause in patients thought otherwise to have type 1 or 2 diabetes (or other types).

Pancreatic exocrine deficiency is prevalent in type 1 or 2 diabetes when patients are evaluated with direct tests of exocrine function. However, this form of PEI is usually mild to moderate and appears not to progress substantially over time. It is unclear whether this has any implications for management.

FE-1 results appear to correlate poorly with results of direct tests of exocrine function in diabetes type I and 2 patients, but more data are needed.

Limited randomised controlled trial data do not support treating patients with PERT simply on the basis of very low faecal elastase-1 levels (< 100 µg/g), but further studies with carefully chosen endpoints are needed to be more definitive.

Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>Rarely is there a need to use PERT in patients with diabetes. Limited randomised controlled trial data do not support treating patients with PERT simply on the basis of very low faecal elastase-1 levels (&lt; 100 µg/g). 22-26</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>New onset diabetes in an at-risk patient, especially if they have lost weight, should prompt consideration of tests for pancreatic exocrine disease and for cancer; e.g. faecal fat, other pancreatic function tests, Ca19-9.19</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Patients with type 3c diabetes should be screened for deficiencies of fat-soluble vitamins.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Search strategy

This chapter evaluated published data regarding pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and the use of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in diabetes mellitus. Abstracts were sourced using the MEDLINE database from 1966 to September 2014 and full papers were obtained for all relevant studies. The search was performed by combining the terms ‘diabetes’, ‘type 3c diabetes’, ‘type 3 diabetes’, ‘blood glucose’, or ‘glycaemia’ with ‘pancreatogenic’, ‘pancreatic exocrine insufficiency’, ‘chronic pancreatitis’, ‘faecal elastase’, ‘pancreatic enzyme replacement’, ‘exocrine function’, ‘secretin-pancreozymin’, ‘cerulein’, or ‘steatorrhoea’. Reference lists from relevant papers were also
searched for additional publications not identified in the original search. A total of 37 original articles and reviews were identified as being relevant to this chapter.
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Chapter 13

Coeliac disease and pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy

Introduction

Coeliac disease (CD, also known as nontropical sprue or gluten-sensitive enteropathy) is an autoimmune disorder targeting the small intestine that is morphologically characterised by blunting of small intestinal villi, crypt hyperplasia, and lymphocyte infiltration of crypts. This damage impairs the absorptive function of the gut, resulting in diarrhoea, malabsorption and related systemic changes. The manifestation of coeliac disease is the result of an interaction between genetic, environmental, and immunologic factors. On the background of a genetic predisposition (the presence of the HLA-DQ2/DQ8 serotype) and environmental triggers (consumption of gluten), an immune dysregulation occurs resulting in structural damage to the intestinal mucosa.

Gluten is the trigger for the immune process. Gluten is a storage protein that can be found in wheat. This storage protein provides elasticity to dough. While initially only used for wheat protein, gluten is now used as the term to describe all similar proteins from other grains including rye or barley, that are able to induce an immune response since the proteins are recognised as antigens. Oats contain a gluten-type protein (avenin) that share some peptide sequences with wheat gluten. However, concentrations are substantially lower. Prolamin proteins from other grains mostly lack the peptide sequences that trigger the immune response.

CD is triggered by the ingestion of gluten. The gluten must breach the protective barrier of the small intestine and be presented to the B and T cells of the mucosal immune system by major histocompatibility complex molecules (MHCs), and present on antigen-presenting cells (APCs) such as dendritic cells. This presentation of gluten to APCs appears to be facilitated by a defective regulation of tight junction proteins. Australian data suggest that the prevalence of anti-tTG antibodies in the population is 1.56%, and the prevalence of CD is at least 0.56% based upon histology or positive results of anti-tTG assays and an HLA haplotype consistent with CD.

Effects of impaired intestinal function on pancreatic function

Pancreatic exocrine secretion in humans occurs during the interdigestive and postprandial periods of the digestive cycle and is controlled via afferent messaging originating from the upper small intestine. The physiological processes involved include well described feedback mechanisms related to cholecystokinin (CCK)-and secretin-releasing factors of pancreatic and duodenal origin, along with the active pancreatic proteases present in the upper gut.

In addition there are peptides – mostly originating from the intestine – that are involved in the regulatory process of pancreatic secretion. Regulatory peptides include somatostatin, peptide YY, pancreatic polypeptide, glucagon, ghrelin, and leptin. Finally, luminal bile and bile salts modulate pancreatic enzyme secretion. The well-established role of enteropancreatic pathways for the regulation of pancreatic function suggests that the inflammatory process that characterises CD is likely to have an effect on pancreatic secretion.

Exocrine pancreatic function in coeliac disease

Studies examining pancreatic exocrine function in CD are summarised in Table 13.1. In the presence of mucosal atrophy, pancreatic function (response to nutrients) is abnormal in CD. The impairment is related to the severity of mucosal damage. Diminished exocrine pancreatic function in individuals having villous
atrophic appears to be linked to decreased CCK secretion. However, CCK as well as pancreatic secretion are normalised after healing of the CD-related mucosal inflammation.

On the other hand, Otte et al. observed normal HCO\textsubscript{3} but reduced enzyme secretion in 22 patients by using secretin-pancreozymin stimulation before the start of a gluten-free diet. It was noted that even after injection of CCK-pancreozymin, there was reduced contraction of the gall bladder. While the enzyme secretion was significantly reduced as compared to healthy controls, the reduction was much smaller as compared to reductions seen in patients with clinically relevant pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI).

While overall the coexistence of CD and advanced PEI appears to be rare, Regan and DiMagno from the Mayo Clinic identified in a series of 31 patients with CD, 3 patients who had severe pancreatic insufficiency. CCK-stimulated duodenal tryptic activity or lipolytic activity (or both) was reduced in 13 (42%), but severely reduced duodenal enzyme activities were only found in three cases (10%). At the same time, the morphology of the small bowel appeared normal in 21 patients. The authors concluded that mild-to-moderate PEI is a frequent finding in untreated CD. It needs to be noted that the significant, but nevertheless small reduction of enzyme secretion was unlikely to explain steatorrhoea in the majority of patients.

In another study by Carroccio et al., paediatric CD patients were included. One group of patients had total or subtotal atrophy of the intestinal mucosa, another group had complete remission of histologic changes during a gluten free diet and in addition, control subjects of similar age with normal jejunal histology were included. Exocrine pancreatic function was determined utilising the secretin-caerulein test. During the test, bicarbonate concentration and lipase, phospholipase, and chymotrypsin activity were measured after intravenous stimulation with 1 clinical unit of secretin and 75 ng/kg body weight of ceruletide. Ten out of 44 untreated coeliac patients showed reduced tryptic or lipolytic activity. In addition, the mean value of the faecal chymotrypsin concentration was significantly lower in untreated than in treated coeliac patients or in control subjects.

In another study, pancreatic enzyme secretion was stimulated with intravenous arginine in 18 adult patients with treated CD. In three patients, the indirect para-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) test suggested PEI. However, it needs to be noted that the results of this indirect oral pancreatic function test might be affected by impaired absorptive function of the small bowel.

The largest observational study is authored by Leeds and co-workers. This study included newly diagnosed CD patients, patients in remission and CD patients with ongoing GI symptoms. While 11% of the newly diagnosed patients had lowered pancreatic elastase levels in the stool, this proportion was 30% in patients with ongoing GI symptoms (diarrhoea) in spite of a gluten free diet. Interestingly, it is reported that in 18 out of 20 patients, enzyme replacement therapy improved symptoms.

In summary, the available data suggest that in patients with active CD, there is a reduction of pancreatic enzyme secretion in response to endogenous (meal) stimulation. In addition, there appears to be a reduced response to humoral stimulation. While the reduction in pancreatic enzyme secretion is statistically significant, it is not necessarily sufficient to explain on its own clinical malabsorption or diarrhoea since there is general consensus that, for example, lipolytic activity must be reduced by 80 to 90% before clinical signs of pancreatic insufficiency occurs. Nevertheless, it might be speculated that in the presence of a significant mucosal inflammation that also impairs the absorptive function, clinical signs of PEI may occur much earlier.

Pancreatic disease in patients with CD

The available data suggest that at least in a subgroup of patients with CD, there is impaired pancreatic function (see above) and cases of calcifying pancreatitis in CD have been reported. However, little is known about the prevalence of pancreatic disease in patients with CD. A recent study from Sweden analysed data of 29,000 patients with biopsy-proven CD by matching histology data with hospital records. The absolute risk of any pancreatitis among patients with CD was 126/100,000 person-years, with an excess risk of 81/100,000 person-years. The risk for gallstone-related acute pancreatitis was 1.59 (95% CI, 1.06-2.40), for non-gallstone-related acute pancreatitis 1.86 (95% CI, 1.52-2.26), for chronic pancreatitis was 3.33 (95% CI, 2.33-4.76). In addition, patients with CD were 5 times more likely to receive pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT). Thus,
based upon these data, patients with CD have an almost 3-fold increase in risk of developing pancreatitis compared with the general population. It is unknown if the autoimmune process or other factors contribute to this. Thus, the data suggest that CD is a risk factor for the development of pancreatic disease. Based upon this, appropriate diagnostic work-up is required in patients with CD who may have – based upon persisting symptoms of malabsorption – true pancreatic comorbidities.

**Role of pancreatic insufficiency in non-responsive CD**

In a case series from the Mayo clinic, 55 patients non-responsive to a gluten free diet were studied. More than 50% had gluten contamination while one patient was later diagnosed pancreatic cancer who had pancreatic insufficiency. Thus, pancreatic insufficiency might be considered as a potential cause for non-response to gluten-free diet. However, while the reduction of enzyme secretion in patients with CD and the prevalence of severe pancreatic insufficiency (> 80-90% reduction of enzyme secretion) is low, there might be pancreatic insufficiency as an independent disease. Indeed, in one small study two out of 11 patients with CD not responding to a gluten free diet were diagnosed with pancreatic insufficiency. Thus, testing for pancreatic insufficiency might be warranted in selected cases.

**Enzyme therapy in patients with CD**

A significant proportion of patients with CD do not respond to a gluten free diet. This might be due to the failure to comply with the dietary requirements, but even in patients with histologic remission, symptoms may persist. One group reported that in 20 out of 66 adult coeliac patients with current or persistent diarrhoea, the underlying cause was PEI. Interestingly, 19 out of these 20 patients improved on pancreatic supplementation. When followed-up for up to 4 years, 11 out of these 19 patients continued to take the PERT (mean dose of 45,000 units of lipase per day). One patient had not experienced any improvement, and in total 8 out of 19 patients had discontinued supplementation. Overall repeat testing revealed that faecal elastase had substantially increased over time and the authors concluded that pancreatic enzyme supplementation could be discontinued in a substantial proportion of patients as symptoms improve.

PERT in patients with CD could target a concomitant pancreatic enzyme deficiency or could also be considered as an approach to deliver enzymes capable of proteolysing gluten (i.e., glutenases). A study conducted more than ten years ago assessed the effect of an enzyme preparation based on an animal intestinal extract. The concept is that these enzymes digest the gluten before it can initiate the immune process. Patients received a modest gluten challenge consisting of three cracker biscuits (3.5 g each) daily for 14 days, making a total of 13 g of gluten for each day in randomised fashion with or without PERT. During the gluten challenge, epithelial stunting and lamina propria lymphocytic infiltration were the measures which improved most in association with PERT versus control. While this trial tested intestinal enzymes from an animal preparation, it is uncertain if commercially available pancreatic enzyme preparations will have similar effects.

Overall, properly randomised and controlled trials assessing the value of PERT in CD patients are lacking.

**Summary**

The secretion of digestive enzymes into the duodenum is controlled by humoral and neural factors. For obvious reasons these enteropancreatic pathway factors are closely interrelated with the functional integrity of the small intestinal mucosa (e.g. by the release of regulatory peptides). While available data are limited (e.g. small sample sizes and many studies used indirect tests that might be affected by the impaired intestinal absorptive capacity), there is sufficient evidence to assume that in untreated CD patients, there is a reduced pancreatic enzyme response to luminal nutrients.

The data also suggest that even the pancreatic enzyme response to stimulation with secretin and ceruletide is diminished. This may suggest that structural changes occur during the course of the disease.
It needs to be noted that in the majority of CD patients, pancreatic enzyme secretion normalises after introduction of a gluten free diet.

Data from a small series of patients suggest that in a few patients clinically not responding to a gluten free diet, PEI might be considered as a potential differential diagnosis. After ruling out persistent inflammatory changes of the mucosa during a gluten free diet, faecal elastase-1 determination or a breath test with $^{13}$C mixed triglycerides should identify PEI in patients with persistent diarrhoea and weight loss. After establishing impaired pancreatic secretion, or in situations when pancreatic function testing is not feasible, a trial of PERT therapy might be an option.

In patients with severe PEI, a proper diagnostic work-up is required to rule out relevant pancreatic pathology.

While case studies suggest that PERT might be beneficial in selected cases, data from properly randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trials are lacking. However, even in patients with proven PEI, the magnitude of the reduction in enzyme secretion is not always sufficient to explain weight loss and persistent diarrhoea since this would require an 80 to 90% reduction in duodenal lipolytic activity.

Beside the treatment of PEI, digestive enzymes theoretically may also play a role for the enzymatic breakdown of gluten. Under normal circumstances, gluten is poorly metabolised and can trigger the underlying immune responses. Limited data are available for enzyme preparations from animal sources that target the intraluminal enzymatic digestion of gluten.

**Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13. 1</td>
<td>In most CD patients, pancreatic enzyme secretion normalises after introduction of a gluten free diet, but in those clinically not responding, testing for PEI is warranted in selected cases.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 2</td>
<td>As CD may be a risk factor for the development of pancreatic disease, appropriate diagnostic work-up is required in CD patients clinically not responding to a gluten-free diet.</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 3</td>
<td>In CD patients with severe PEI, a proper diagnostic work-up is required to rule out relevant pancreatic pathology.</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 4</td>
<td>After establishing impaired pancreatic secretion in CD patients, or where pancreatic function testing is not feasible, a trial of PERT might be an option.</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13.1: Pancreatic function in patients with Coeliac Disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regan &amp; DiMagno 1980\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>31 patients with proven CD</td>
<td>CCK-stimulated enzyme secretion</td>
<td>Duodenal trypsic &amp;/or lipolytic activity was reduced in 13 (42%) but severely reduced in only the 3 case reports (10%).</td>
<td>Severe impairment of pancreatic function is rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otte et al 1985\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>22 adult patients</td>
<td>Secretin-pancreozymin stimulation, bicarbonate and pancreatic enzymes</td>
<td>Normal bicarbonate secretion, ‘mild’ reduction of enzyme secretion</td>
<td>Study suggests that besides impaired stimulation via impaired enteropancreatic pathways there is also a ‘pancreatic’ component that explains reduced enzyme secretion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins et al 1986\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>18 adult patients on gluten free diet</td>
<td>N-benzoyl-L-tyrosyl-PABA (BT-PABA)</td>
<td>3 out of 18 had impaired function based upon this indirect pancreatic function test</td>
<td>Test result might be affected by intestinal function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroccio et al 1991\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Paediatric patients: Group A (n=44) CD with total or subtotal atrophy of the intestinal mucosa; Group B (n=67) CD with normal morphology after gluten free diet; Group C (n=49) controls of similar age with normal jejunal histology.</td>
<td>Secretin/caerulein stimulation</td>
<td>10 of 44 untreated CD patients showed reduced trypsic &amp;/or lipolytic activity. After normalisation of the mucosa no PEI was seen. Faecal chymotrypsin was significantly lower in untreated than treated or control children.</td>
<td>Transient impairment of pancreatic function in CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perri et al 1998\textsuperscript{26}</td>
<td>17 adolescents and children, before treatment of CD and follow-up</td>
<td>Di-stearyl-13C-octanoyl-glyceride (mixed triglyceride) breath test utilising infrared-spectroscopy</td>
<td>Reduced duodenal lipolytic activity in 24% pre-treatment. Normalisation after introduction of gluten free diet.</td>
<td>Indirect test suggests transient impairment of pancreatic function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nousia-Arvanitakis, S., et al.,1999\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>33 patients aged 3 – 18 years</td>
<td>Faecal human elastase activity determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbert assay (ELISA)</td>
<td>Enzyme values from CD patients with normal mucosa were significantly higher than those from patients with villous atrophy (p &lt; 0.001) and comparable to those from controls.</td>
<td>Only indirect stimulation assessed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Coeliac disease and PERT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leeds et al 2007</td>
<td>259 patients: n=57 newly diagnosed CD, n=86 symptom free on gluten free diet, n=66 on gluten free diet with diarrhoea, n=50 chronic diarrhoea without CD</td>
<td>Faecal elastase</td>
<td>Low faecal elastase is common in patients with CD before initiation of therapy or with ongoing symptoms.</td>
<td>In an open fashion, some patients received PERT and it is reported that symptoms improved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 14

PERT and the irritable bowel syndrome

Introduction

The irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a clinical condition characterized by abdominal pain or discomfort, bloating and disturbed defaecation. Typically patients have intermittent and erratic symptoms but a subset have more chronic complaints. IBS affects approximately 10% to 15% of Australians. Subtypes of IBS according to the Rome III criteria include diarrhoea-predominant, constipation-predominant and mixed depending on stool consistency (form) but an unclassifiable group is also recognized. IBS also overlaps with unexplained chronic (functional) diarrhoea and chronic (functional) constipation as well as functional dyspepsia and other disorders.

The pathogenesis of IBS is unclear but disturbances of motor and sensory gut function, and brain-gut disturbances have been described. IBS can follow an episode of infectious gastroenteritis. More recently, subsets with IBS-like symptoms have been recognised to have undiagnosed coeliac disease, microscopic colitis and bile salt malabsorption causing diarrhoea. There is preliminary evidence that pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) may also contribute to diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome but this remains to be confirmed in large prospective studies.

Pancreatic exocrine function

The first suggestion that PEI may be present in some patients with IBS came from a case-control study conducted in 1986. In this small study, $^{14}$CO$_2$ breath sampling was used to measure the absorption of $^{14}$C-triolein from a standard fat meal. Results from 66 patients with gastrointestinal disorders were compared with 60 controls. Twenty percent of those with IBS had subnormal values in the $^{14}$C-triolein breath test. However, this test has a low sensitivity and specificity for PEI.

A better-designed study investigated the prevalence of PEI which was assessed in 314 patients with diarrhoea-predominant IBS. Nineteen patients (6.1%, 95% CI 3.7 - 9.3%) had faecal elastase-1 (FE$_1$) levels less than 100 µg/g stool, suggesting severe PEI, compared with no patients with chronic diarrhoea of other cause or healthy controls; this finding was unlikely to be due to chance (P<0.001). While the prevalence of low FE$_1$ levels was significantly higher in IBS with diarrhoea, selection or measurement bias cannot be ruled out as explanations for the findings (see Chapter 2).

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy

The efficacy of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) in diarrhoea-predominant IBS was also assessed in the above case-control study. Nineteen patients with FE$_1$ levels below 100 µg/g stool and fifteen patients with normal FE$_1$ levels (>200 µg/g stool) were treated with 30,000 units of lipase three times per day for 12 weeks. Eighteen patients (94.7%) with low FE$_1$ levels and one patient with normal FE$_1$ (6.7%) had a clinically significant response to therapy. Supplementation with pancreatic enzymes led to significant improvements in stool frequency, stool consistency and abdominal pain in patients with low FE$_1$ levels compared with controls. However, objective evidence of PEI in all these cases was not obtained.

Other data suggest that, irrespective of pancreatic function status, pancreatic enzymes may provide a benefit in IBS patients with diarrhoea. A case report of a patient with clinical features of diarrhoea-predominant IBS reported successful treatment with pancreatic enzymes. She judged the enzyme supplementation to be more effective than placebo at reducing postprandial symptoms and continued to be successfully treated with enzymes for an additional four years.
In the largest treatment study to date, a single practice in the US evaluated the efficacy of pancrelipase (pancrealipase, pancreatic enzymes) compared with placebo in the reduction of postprandial irritable bowel syndrome-diarrhoea (IBS-D) in a double-blind crossover trial. This includes the patient from the case report above. The study was restricted to patients with IBS-D with at least a 5-year history and normal investigations who reported symptoms after meals and two or more trigger foods. After six baseline trigger meals, 49 patients (14 men, 35 women, mean age 52 years) were randomized to pancreatic enzymes or identical placebo for six meals, then after a washout were crossed over for a further six meals. The pre-specified primary outcome measure was the number of patients who chose pancreatic enzymes over placebo for extended use. The results were not definitive but there was a trend; 30/49 (61%) would have chosen pancreatic enzymes (p=0.078). In the pancreatic enzyme subgroup, enzyme use compared with placebo was associated with an improvement in symptoms (all p≤0.001) including cramping, bloating, and urge to defaecate. The trial had a number of shortcomings including its complex design, a focus on a subset with IBS-D and postprandial meal symptoms and non-standard endpoints. However, pancreatic enzymes may help a subgroup of patients to reduce postprandial IBS-D symptoms. The mechanism is also unclear; these patients did not have recognized pancreatic insufficiency and the number who benefited for other reasons is unknown.

Summary
Irritable bowel syndrome is a common condition characterised by disturbed defaecation including diarrhoea, abdominal pain, and often bloating. PEI may occur in a small subset of patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome but the prevalence is unclear. Treatment with PERT may reduce diarrhoea and abdominal pain regardless of the presence of PEI based on preliminary evidence, but better-designed clinical trials are now needed to confirm the initial observations.

Recommendations for pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in IBS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>Patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome should be considered for investigation of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy may lead to clinically significant improvements in diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome where there is evidence of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 15

The Ageing pancreas

The number and proportion of elderly people in the Australian and New Zealand populations is rising because of declining fertility and increased longevity resulting from improvements in medical technologies. Elderly people may have accumulated structural changes in the pancreas which have not caused pain, resulting in silent pancreatic enzyme insufficiency (PEI). Many studies have demonstrated diminishing pancreatic enzyme secretion with ageing (See Table 15.1). Such patients should not be denied the possibility of a cure for their progressive weight loss. However, there are several difficulties with this concept:

- The reduced pancreatic function may be just a component of general functional decline of the gastrointestinal tract where the pancreas is not necessarily the only ageing organ.
- The tests used in these studies have a recognised false positive rate which is important when screening otherwise normal individuals, and therefore these results need to consider the high potential for over-diagnosis. Although over-prescribing pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) is not considered to carry a risk of complications, it is inconvenient and expensive for society.

Recent authors have described the importance of PEI as a cause of weight loss and deterioration in elderly people\(^1\). Confirming which of these patients needs PERT requires measurement of PEI with minimal error. In the above study, 20% of people without gastrointestinal disease had low levels of FE-1 and 9% had levels less than 100 U\(^1\). Does this mean that 20% of elderly people require PERT? Given the growing number of elderly people in this situation, a test with greater accuracy than FE-1 is necessary because of the risk of false positive results in about 20% of subjects (See Table 2.2, Chapter2). However, undertaking invasive studies in this group is also impractical.

In a study from 1992 where PABA excretion was used to measure PEI in 21 elderly normal subjects compared with 29 healthy young subjects, 19 percent of the elderly had significantly reduced pancreatic function and the remaining subjects had normal function\(^2\). Given that these subjects were clinically normal it is difficult to interpret this result as an indication for the prescription of PERT but this result does question the value of such studies in this population.

The \(^{14}\text{C} \text{ triolein breath test also demonstrates progressive deterioration in pancreatic function with age so that age-dependent normal ranges have been proposed: 65 years or less (201 to 460\% cumulative value of administered dose), 66 to 75 years (182 to 405\%) and over 75 years (141 to 336\%). However factors such as bacterial overgrowth, reduced intestinal absorption and a slower metabolic rate cannot be separated as a cause from PEI using this test. Even so, the authors found the test to be a simple and non-invasive means of studying this population,\(^3\) but it may be difficult to access in Australasia. However this test does require the collection of exhaled breath over a number of hours which can be inconvenient for elderly people.

The nutritional status of any patient with PEI is always at risk. Malnutrition is a known problem for the ageing population, particularly within the acute setting where rates have shown to be as high as 61\%\(^18\). Common contributing factors are diarrhoea and malabsorption, resulting in weight loss. While having a similar presentation, PEI is considered an uncommon cause of these symptoms\(^12-15\). However it has been recommended that PEI is considered in older patients who present with weight loss of unknown aetiology\(^16-17\).
### Literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ageing results in dilation of main and accessory pancreatic ducts and is mainly seen after the sixth decade as per Endoscopic Retrograde Pancreatography⁴</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic glandular tissue of aged subjects (N=10) reacts with a decreasing response to repeated stimulation by pancreozymin and secretin, thought to be due to exhaustion of pancreatic secreting tissue or reduced sensitivity over time⁵.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective analysis of &gt;2300 secretin tests shows ⁶:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exocrine secretion is well preserved for flow and bicarbonate and does not change with age whereas enzyme secretion appears to be statistically diminished in the 50-59, 60-69 and 70-79 age groups. The sample of patients aged &gt;80 was too small for analysis; ⁹,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ageing does not seem to influence typical patterns of secretion in patients with chronic pancreatitis or carcinoma.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretin tests in 23 normal males 60-72 years old showed ⁷ that total volume, trypsin and amylase secretions were markedly reduced compared with normal values but lipase was normal.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal aspirates of 27 young subjects compared with those of 28 elderly subjects showed significant reduction (P&lt;0.05) in bicarbonate, lipase, chymotrypsin concentrations. Significant reduction bicarbonate and enzyme volume also seen ⁸.</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of secretin tests in the aged with those in young controls showed no, or only slight decline in pancreatic secretory capacity of bicarbonate and enzymes with increasing age⁹,10.</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic function of 60 (66-88 year-old) aged was assessed via the fluorescein dilaurate test and compared with 35 (21-57 year-old) young controls. The authors concluded that the ageing process per se does not influence exocrine function ¹¹.</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea and malabsorption resulting in weight loss are common in the elderly, but pancreatic insufficiency is considered an uncommon cause of this ¹²-¹⁵.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic insufficiency should be sought in older patients who present with weight loss of unknown aetiology ¹⁶,¹⁷.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A RCT in acute geriatric patients found ¹⁸:</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rates of malnutrition are high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Patients are not routinely referred for nutrition intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Early, focused dietetic intervention reduced length of stay of malnourished, acutely unwell geriatric patients.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A cross-sectional study with young healthy controls showed 20% of older healthy individuals without any gastrointestinal disorder, surgery or diabetes mellitus suffer from PEI (per faecal elastase results) and might benefit from enzyme supplementation therapy. Also, no correlation was found between faecal elastase-1 levels and clinical symptoms of abdominal discomfort¹.</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective analysis of 180 duodenal suction tests in people aged 18-83 years old demonstrated age-related correlation in volume, bicarbonate and enzymes secretion, which all started reducing after the 3rd decade of life ¹⁹.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-mortem studies show that structural changes occurring in the pancreas as a part of the natural ageing process include lithiasis, ductal epithelial hyperplasia, ductal widening and intraluminal protein deposition ²⁰-²³.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of PEI (determined by faecal elastase-1) increases with age and seems to be higher in men than in women. Smoking seems to be an independent risk factor for PEI ²⁴.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

There are no evidence-based strategies for management of the elderly patient (over 65 years) who might have a failing exocrine pancreas. The following recommendations are suggested – both are Level 5 (expert opinion).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>In an otherwise healthy elderly patient with unexplained progressive wasting, nutritional deficiencies or diarrhoea, PEI may be suspected.</td>
<td>Level 5 (expert opinion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>In the absence of a non-invasive, relatively inexpensive test for steatorrhoea which can be carried out in a potentially large group of the population, nutritional markers including vitamins A, D, E and K, and steatocrits measured on three separate days could be requested. Some have suggested an FE-1 test but this can only be justified as a means of excluding PEI.</td>
<td>Level 5 (expert opinion)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

This document summarises the current literature regarding the treatment of PEI (pancreatic enzyme insufficiency) for many different conditions.

In Chapter 2 we have classified these conditions as being Definite, Likely and Unlikely to result in PEI because this consideration changes one’s approach to confirming the diagnosis and to treatment. This classification is a great help in considering patient management.

There was a high level of agreement within the expert group that the starting dose of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) should be between 25,000 and 40,000 units lipase / meal but that if symptoms persist, a protein pump inhibitor should be considered and the dose of PERT titrated up towards 80,000 units lipase /meal. Other reasons for failure should be considered if this still fails. Because untreated PEI results in a malnourished state with low body fat and protein stores in association with osteopaenia, nutritional markers may be used to judge a satisfactory response to treatment. There is no benefit in restricting fat in the diet but rather the enzyme intake should be sufficient to allow normal fat absorption.

Although there does not appear to be evidence for the value of PERT during the early phase of acute pancreatitis, it is common for PEI to be present in the recovery phase, which may last for two years. Patients should be assessed for this occurrence. PEI may develop a number of years after acute pancreatitis but patients with extensive pancreatic necrosis can recover sufficiently to not require replacement therapy. Chronic pancreatitis sufferers who secrete less than a tenth of the normal enzyme amount have improved symptoms after the introduction of PERT. PEI needs to be confirmed in these patients before treatment.

Cystic fibrosis is a complex condition which should be managed by a multidisciplinary team to ensure adequate nutritional intake with appropriate PERT protocols. The paediatric literature contains some of the strongest evidence demonstrating the benefit of PERT in the presence of PEI. These patients frequently need proton pump inhibition and require ongoing nutritional assessment.

PEI may be a consequence of many and different forms of gastrointestinal surgery. Because this can result in asynchrony, the FE-1 stool test can give false results in many of these patients and in many countries the 13C breath test is indicated for adequate diagnosis. However this is a specialised test which is not widely available in Australia. Bacterial overgrowth and acid suppression needs to be considered in these patients before committing them to long term PERT.

It is important to emphasise that we found high agreement with the use of PERT in patients with unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

Three conditions where PEI is considered to be unlikely (diabetes, coeliac disease and irritable bowel syndrome) were covered. There was good evidence that in a subset of such patients PEI should be considered but in these patients FE-1 testing is likely to result in over-diagnosis and more direct testing should be considered before committing them to long term PERT.

Similarly, the literature suggests that PEI may be an important silent cause of weight loss and malnutrition in elderly patients. The FE-1 test may be useful in ruling out PEI in these patients but a low value will result in a large number of false positive results. However formal testing would be impractical. A clinical trial may be useful but it will be difficult to judge the effectiveness of treatment on clinical grounds.
There is still very little level 1 evidence examining the use of PERT in the literature and the group sends out a plea to correct this deficit through the development of multi-centre studies and for the adequate funding of pancreatic disease investigation centres so that these patients can be better advised.

*Ross C. Smith*

Chairman, Australasian Pancreatic Club PERT Guidelines Working Group

September 2015
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